

# Measurement of Nuclear Effects with Drell-Yan Scattering at Fermilab, Now and in the Future

Paul E. Reimer

JLab Users' Meeting, 13 June 2006

- EMC effect in the valence and the sea
- Partonic energy loss
- Future directions









#### Structure of nucleonic matter: How do sea quark distributions differ in a nucleus?

Comparison with Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)

- EMC: Parton distributions of bound and free nucleons are different.
- Antishadowing not seen in Drell-Yan—Valence only effect



13 June 2006



Paul E. Reimer; JLab Users' Meeting



- Fixed target  $\Rightarrow$  high  $x_F = x_{beam} x_{target}$
- Valence *Beam* quarks at high-x.

Sea Target quarks at low/intermediate-x.

 $M^2 = x_1 x_2 s$ 

## Structure of nucleonic matter: How do sea quark distributions differ in a nucleus?

Comparison with

Deep Inelastic Scattering (DIS)

- EMC: Parton distributions of bound and free nucleons are different.
- Antishadowing not seen in Drell-Yan—Valence only effect? better statistical precision needed—E906.
- Intermediate-x sea PDF's set by v-DIS on iron—Are nuclear effects with the weak interaction the same as electromagnetic?
  - What can the sea parton distributions tell us about the effects of nuclear binding?





#### Structure of nucleonic matter: Where are the nuclear pions?

- The binding of nucleons in a nucleus is expected to be governed by the exchange of virtual "Nuclear" mesons.
- No antiquark enhancement seen in Drell-Yan (Fermilab E772) data.
- Contemporary models predict large effects to antiquark distributions as x increases.



#### How can we do better with Drell-Yan?



#### Cross section scales as 1/s

- 7× that of 800 GeV beam
- Backgrounds, primarily from J/ψ decays scale as s
  - 7× Luminosity for same detector rate as 800 GeV beam
    - 50× statistics!!





## E906 Apparatus

## Fermilab committed to running the experiment in 2009 (or sooner)

Boost difference between 800 and 120 GeV requires shorter experiment.

-Previous (E866) spectrometer was over 60m long; E906 spect. is only 26m long

-Fabrication of new coils for M1 magnet (was 14.5 m long new M1 is only 4.8 m)

–Complications with  $\pi$  decays between target and absorber

#### Other items:

-New Station 1 to handle higher rate

-Replace some very old scintillators, additional phototubes

Key to rates: Beam dump and hadron absorber within M1 Magnet



### **Parton Energy Loss**

- Important to understanding RHIC data.
- Colored parton moving in strongly interacting media.
- Only initial state interactions
  - no final state strong interactions.
- In Drell-Yan, energy loss results in apparent shift in x<sub>beam</sub> in a heavy nuclei compared with light nuclei





- Measure relative cross section in light and heavy nuclei
- Extract partonic energy loss

#### **Parameterization of Energy Loss**

 $\Delta x_{1} = -\kappa x_{1} A^{1/3}$ Galvin and Milana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 1834 (1992) **dE/dz < 0.014 fm**   $\Delta x_{1} = -\kappa/s A^{1/3}$ Brodsky and Hoyer, Phys. Lett. B **298**, 165 (1993)

dE/dz < 0.044 GeV/fm

Δ x<sub>1</sub> = -κ/s A<sup>2/3</sup>
Baier *et al.,* Nucl. Phys. B484, 265 (1997)
dE/dz < 0.046 GeV/fm<sup>2</sup> × L

E866 analysis consistent with no energy loss



- Treatment of <sup>x</sup>1
  - parton propagation length and
  - shadowing are critical
  - Johnson *et al.*—2.2 GeV/fm from same data
- Energy loss  $\propto$  1/s—larger at 120 GeV

### **Partonic Energy Loss:** Shadowing

- E866 Acceptance correlates x<sub>beam</sub> and  $\mathbf{x}_{target}$
- Correct (event by event) for DIS shadowing (EKS)
- Look for partonic energy loss in residual nuclear dependence



13 June 2006

0.2

1

0.9

0.8

å

0

×b

#### Paul E. Reimer; JLab Users' Meeting

### **Parton Energy Loss**



#### E866 analysis consistent with no energy loss

- Treatment of parton propagation length and shadowing are critical
  - Johnson *et al.*—2.2 GeV/fm from same data
  - Energy loss  $\propto$  1/s—larger at 120 GeV



