

Extended schematic model for hadrons

and

what happens to the radius
of an excited hadron

Tamar Friedmann

University of Rochester

Workshop on Excited Hadronic States and the Deconfinement Transition

Jefferson Lab - Feb. 25, 2011

- classification of hadrons: traditional quark model
 - mesons: $q\bar{q}$
 - baryons: qqq
- not everything fits \implies "exotic mesons"
 - light scalar nonet
 - some charmed mesons
- use diquarks Q as building blocks
- but diquarks were never used **systematically** as a classification tool for all known mesons...

Idea: construct a schematic model with quarks and diquarks as building blocks to reclassify mesons, and see what we might learn about QCD in the process.

arXiv:0910.2229

... I: Diquarks and Classification of Mesons

Which diquarks?

- interquark forces not known at low energies
- derive diquark building blocks from meson phenomenology:

$$Q\bar{Q} = \text{flavor nonets} \implies Q \text{ is flavor-antisymmetric}$$

Table: Diquark configurations

	<i>Flavor</i>		<i>Spin</i>	<i>Color</i>	\mathcal{H}_{CM}	\mathcal{H}_{CE}
	$SU(3)_f$	$SU(4)_f$	$SU(2)_s$	$SU(3)_c$		
\mathcal{Q}_1	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_f(A)$	$\bar{\mathbf{6}}_f(A)$	$\mathbf{1}_s(A)$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c(A)$	-8	-8/3
\mathcal{Q}_2	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_f(A)$	$\bar{\mathbf{6}}_f(A)$	$\mathbf{3}_s(S)$	$\mathbf{6}_c(S)$	-4/3	4/3
\mathcal{Q}_3	$\mathbf{6}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{10}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{3}_s(S)$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c(A)$	8/3	-8/3
\mathcal{Q}_4	$\mathbf{6}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{10}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{1}_s(A)$	$\mathbf{6}_c(S)$	4	4/3

Meson quantum numbers

- Color

$$q\bar{q} : \mathbf{3}_c \otimes \bar{\mathbf{3}}_c = \mathbf{8}_c \oplus \mathbf{1}_c \quad SU(3)_c,$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1 : \bar{\mathbf{3}}_c \otimes \mathbf{3}_c = \mathbf{8}_c \oplus \mathbf{1}_c \quad SU(3)_c,$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_2 \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2 : \mathbf{6}_c \otimes \bar{\mathbf{6}}_c = \mathbf{27}_c \oplus \mathbf{8}_c \oplus \mathbf{1}_c \quad SU(3)_c,$$

- Flavor

$$q\bar{q} : \mathbf{3}_f \otimes \bar{\mathbf{3}}_f = \mathbf{8}_f \oplus \mathbf{1}_f \quad SU(3)_f,$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_i \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_i : \bar{\mathbf{3}}_f \otimes \mathbf{3}_f = \mathbf{8}_f \oplus \mathbf{1}_f \quad SU(3)_f,$$

- J^{PC}

$$J = L \otimes S .$$

$$q\bar{q} : \quad P = (-1)^{L+1}, \quad C = (-1)^{L+S}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_i \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_i : \quad P = (-1)^L, \quad C = (-1)^{L+S} .$$

Tables 2a, 2b, 2c: Meson quantum numbers for $q\bar{q}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$, and $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ up to $L = 3$.

Table 2a: $q\bar{q}$				
L	S	J^{PC}	$2S+1L_J$	
0	0	0^{-+}	1S_0	✓•
0	1	1^{--}	3S_1	✓•
1	0	1^{+-}	1P_1	✓•
1	1	2^{++}	3P_2	✓•
		1^{++}	3P_1	✓•
		0^{++}	3P_0	✓•
2	0	2^{-+}	1D_2	✓•
2	1	3^{--}	3D_3	✓•
		2^{--}	3D_2	✓
		1^{--}	3D_1	✓•
3	0	3^{+-}	1F_3	✓
3	1	4^{++}	3F_4	✓•
		3^{++}	3F_3	
		2^{++}	3F_2	✓•

Table 2b: $\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$				
L	S	J^{PC}	$2S+1L_J$	
0	0	0^{++}	1S_0	✓•
1	0	1^{--}	1P_1	✓•
2	0	2^{++}	1D_2	✓
3	0	3^{--}	1F_3	✓

Table 2c: $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$				
L	S	J^{PC}	$2S+1L_J$	
0	0	0^{++}	1S_0	
0	1	1^{+-}	3S_1	
0	2	2^{++}	5S_2	
1	0	1^{--}	1P_1	
1	1	2^{-+}	3P_2	✓•
		1^{-+}	3P_1	✓
		0^{-+}	3P_0	✓•
1	2	3^{--}	5P_3	
		2^{--}	5P_2	✓•
		1^{--}	5P_1	✓
2	0	2^{++}	1D_2	✓
2	1	3^{+-}	3D_3	
		2^{+-}	3D_2	
		1^{+-}	3D_1	✓
2	2	4^{++}	5D_4	
		3^{++}	5D_3	
		2^{++}	5D_2	✓
		1^{++}	5D_1	✓
		0^{++}	5D_0	✓

Table 2c, cont'd				
L	S	J^{PC}	$2S+1L_J$	
3	0	3^{--}	1F_3	✓
3	1	4^{-+}	3F_4	✓
		3^{-+}	3F_3	
		2^{-+}	3F_2	✓
3	2	5^{--}	5F_5	
		4^{--}	5F_4	
		3^{--}	5F_3	
		2^{--}	5F_2	
		1^{--}	5F_1	

Classification of mesons:

- arrange mesons from PDG into flavor multiplets
- to each multiplet assign
 - meson type: $q\bar{q}$, $\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$, or $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$
 - L and S numbers

Table 3a: Our suggested assignments for observed light mesons. Compare with Table 14.2 in the PDG.

J^{PC}	constituents	$2S+1L_J$	$I = 1$	$I = \frac{1}{2}$	$I = 0$		$n^{2S+1}L_J(PDG)$
0^{-+}	$q\bar{q}$	1S_0	$\bullet\pi$	$\bullet K$	$\bullet\eta$	$\bullet\eta'(958)$	1S_0
0^{-+}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	3P_0	$\bullet\pi(1300)$	$K(1460)$	$\bullet\eta(1475)$	$\bullet\eta(1295)$	2S_0
0^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$	1S_0	$\bullet a_0(980)$	$\kappa(800)$	$\bullet f_0(980)$	$\bullet f_0(600)$	—
0^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3P_0	$\bullet a_0(1450)$	$\bullet K_0^*(1430)$	$\bullet f_0(1710)$	$\bullet f_0(1370)$	1P_0
0^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5D_0		$K_0^*(1950)$	$f_0(2100)$	$\bullet f_0(2020)$	—
1^{--}	$q\bar{q}$	3S_1	$\bullet\rho(770)$	$\bullet K^*(892)$	$\bullet\phi(1020)$	$\bullet\omega(782)$	1S_1
1^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$	1P_1	$\bullet\rho(1450)$	$\bullet K^*(1410)$	$\bullet\phi(1680)$	$\bullet\omega(1420)$	2S_1
1^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5P_1	$\rho(1570)$				—
1^{--}	$q\bar{q}$	3D_1	$\bullet\rho(1700)$	$\bullet K^*(1680)$	$\bullet\omega(1650)$		3D_1
1^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5F_1	$\rho(2150)$				—
1^{-+}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	3P_1	$\bullet\pi_1(1600)$	$K(1630)$			—
1^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3P_1	$\bullet a_1(1260)$	$\bullet K_1(1400)$	$\bullet f_1(1420)$	$\bullet f_1(1285)$	1P_1
1^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5D_1	$a_1(1640)$	$K_1(1650)$	$f_1(1510)$		—
1^{+-}	$q\bar{q}$	1P_1	$\bullet b_1(1235)$	$\bullet K_1(1270)$	$h_1(1380)$	$\bullet h_1(1170)$	1P_1
1^{+-}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	3D_1			$h_1(1595)$		—
2^{-+}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	3P_2	$\bullet\pi_2(1670)$	$K_2(1580)$	$\eta_2(1870)$	$\bullet\eta_2(1645)$	1D_2
2^{-+}	$q\bar{q}$	1D_2	$\bullet\pi_2(1880)$				—
2^{-+}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	3F_2	$\pi_2(2100)$	$K_2(2250)$			—
2^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5P_2		$\bullet K_2(1770)$			—
2^{--}	$q\bar{q}$	3D_2		$\bullet K_2(1820)$			3D_2

J^{PC}	constituents	$2S+1L_J$	$I = 1$	$I = \frac{1}{2}$	$I = 0$	$n^{2S+1}L_J(PDG)$	
2^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3P_2	$\bullet a_2(1320)$	$\bullet K_2^*(1430)$	$f_2(1430)$	$\bullet f_2(1270)$	$1{}^3P_2$
2^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	1D_2			$\bullet f'_2(1525)$		—
2^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$	1D_2	$a_2(1700)$		$f_2(1640)$	$f_2(1565)$	—
2^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5D_2			$f_2(1810)$		—
2^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3F_2		$K_2^*(1980)$	$\bullet f_2(2010)$	$\bullet f_2(1950)$	—*
3^{--}	$q\bar{q}$	3D_3	$\bullet \rho_3(1690)$	$\bullet K_3(1780)$	$\bullet \phi_3(1850)$	$\bullet \omega_3(1670)$	$1{}^3D_3$
3^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$	1F_3	$\rho_3(1990)$				—
3^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	1F_3	$\rho_3(2250)$				—
3^{+-}	$q\bar{q}$	1F_3		$K_3(2320)$			—
4^{-+}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	3F_4		$K_4(2500)$			—
4^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3F_4	$\bullet a_4(2040)$	$\bullet K_4^*(2045)$	$f_4(2220)$	$\bullet f_4(2050)$	$1{}^3F_4$
5^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5F_5	$\rho_5(2350)$	$K_5^*(2380)$			$1{}^3G_5$
6^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5G_6	$a_6(2450)$		$f_6(2510)$		$1{}^3H_6$

* This nonet was classified as $2{}^3P_2$, a radial excitation, between 1992 and 2002.

Table 3b: Our suggested assignments for observed heavy (charm and bottom) mesons. Compare with Table 14.3 in the PDG.

J^{PC}	constituents	$2S+1L_J$	Charmed mesons				Bottom mesons				$n^{2S+1}L_J$ (PDG)
			$I = 1^\circ$	$I = \frac{1}{2}$	$I = 0$	$I = 0$	$I = 1^\circ$	$I = \frac{1}{2}$	$I = 0$	$I = 0$	
0^{-+}	$q\bar{q}$	1S_0		• D	• D_s^\pm	• $\eta_c(1S)$		• B^\dagger	• B_s^\dagger, B_c^\dagger	• $\eta_b(1S)^\dagger$	1^3S_0
0^{-+}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	3P_0				• $\eta_c(2S)^\dagger$					2^1S_0
0^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$	1S_0		$D_0^*(2400)^\pm$	• $D_{s0}^*(2317)$	• $\chi_{c0}(1P)$				• $\chi_{b0}(1P)$	1^3P_0
0^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3P_0								• $\chi_{b0}(2P)^{\dagger\dagger}$	2^3P_0
1^{--}	$q\bar{q}$	3S_1		• D^*	• $D_s^{*\pm}$	• $J/\psi(1S)$		• $B^{*\dagger}$	$B_s^{*\dagger}$	• $\Upsilon(1S)$	1^3S_1
1^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$	1P_1				• $\psi(2S)$				• $\Upsilon(2S)$	2^3S_1
1^{--}	$q\bar{q}$	3D_1				• $\psi(3770)$				• $\Upsilon(3S)$	—*
1^{--}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5F_1				• $\psi(4040)$				• $\Upsilon(4S)$	—*
1^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3P_1	$D_1(2420)$	• $D_{s1}(2536)^\pm$	• $\chi_{c1}(1P)$		• $B_1(5721)^{0\dagger}$	• $B_{s1}(5830)^{0\dagger}$	• $\chi_{b1}(1P)^{\dagger\dagger}$		—**
1^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$	5D_1		• $D_{s1}(2460)$	• $X(3872)^{\#\#}$				• $\chi_{b1}(2P)^{\dagger\dagger}$		—**
2^{++}	$q\bar{q}$	3P_2		• $D_2^*(2460)$	• $D_{s2}(2573)^\pm$	• $\chi_{c2}(1P)$		• $B_2^*(5747)^{0\dagger}$	• $B_{s2}^*(5840)^\dagger$	• $\chi_{b2}(1P)^{\dagger\dagger}$	$1^3P_2^{**}$
2^{++}	$\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$	1D_2				• $\chi_{c2}(2P)$				• $\chi_{b2}(2P)^{\dagger\dagger}$	2^3P_2

Table 3c: Isorons and magic J^{PC} .

Isorons						
	0^{-+}	0^{++}	1^{--}	1^{-+}	2^{++}	4^{++}
Light	$\bullet\eta(1405)$ $\eta(1760)$ $\bullet\pi(1800)$ $K(1830)$ $\eta(2225)$	$\bullet f_0(1500)$ $f_0(2200)$ $f_0(2330)$	$\rho(1900)$	$\bullet\pi_1(1400)$	$f_2(1910)$ $f_2(2150)$ $\bullet f_2(2300)$ $\bullet f_2(2340)$	$f_4(2300)$
Heavy			$\bullet\psi(4160)$ $\bullet X(4260)$ $X(4360)$ $\bullet\psi(4415)$ $\Upsilon(10860)$ $\Upsilon(11020)$			

Glueballs						
	0^{-+}	0^{++}	1^{--}	1^{-+}	2^{++}	4^{++}
	X	X			X	

- isorons
- magic numbers and glueballs
- no "exotics"

former exotic mesons:

- the "cryptoexotic" light scalar nonet with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1, {}^1S_0$
- a manifestly "exotic" meson with $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2, {}^3P_1$
- some newly discovered charmed mesons including:
 - * the $D_{sJ}^*(2317)$ with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1, {}^1S_0$;
 - * the $D_{sJ}(2460)$ with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2, {}^5D_1$;
 - * the $X(3872)$ with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ is a $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2, {}^5D_1$.

former outcasts:

- some heavier scalar mesons with $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$ now form a nonet which is classified as $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$, 5D_0 ;
- some vector mesons with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$ are now $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$, 5D_1 ;
- some 2^{++} mesons which are now $\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$, 1D_2 .

- no radials

- the second 0^{-+} nonet, previously a radial excitation with $n^{2S+1}L_J = 2^1S_0$, is a $\mathcal{Q}_2\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ with $^{2S+1}L_J = {}^3P_0$;
- the second 1^{--} nonet, previously a radial excitation with $n^{2S+1}L_J = 2^3S_1$, is a $\mathcal{Q}_1\bar{\mathcal{Q}}_1$ with $^{2S+1}L_J = {}^1P_1$.

Baryon sector: which diquarks for baryons?

color singlets \implies color antisymmetric

Table: Diquark configurations

	<i>Flavor</i>		<i>Spin</i>	<i>Color</i>	\mathcal{H}_{CM}	\mathcal{H}_{CE}
	$SU(3)_f$	$SU(4)_f$	$SU(2)_s$	$SU(3)_c$		
\mathcal{Q}_1	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_f(A)$	$\bar{\mathbf{6}}_f(A)$	$\mathbf{1}_s(A)$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c(A)$	-8	-8/3
\mathcal{Q}_2	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_f(A)$	$\bar{\mathbf{6}}_f(A)$	$\mathbf{3}_s(S)$	$\mathbf{6}_c(S)$	-4/3	4/3
\mathcal{Q}_3	$\mathbf{6}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{10}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{3}_s(S)$	$\bar{\mathbf{3}}_c(A)$	8/3	-8/3
\mathcal{Q}_4	$\mathbf{6}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{10}_f(S)$	$\mathbf{1}_s(A)$	$\mathbf{6}_c(S)$	4	4/3

– Ropers

$1/2^+$: $N(1440)$, $\Lambda(1600)$, $\Sigma(1660)$;

$1/2^+$: $N(1710)$, $\Lambda(1810)$, $\Sigma(1880)$;

$3/2^+$: $\Delta(1600)$.

Ropers as pentaquarks, $\mathcal{Q}_1 \mathcal{Q}_1 \bar{q}$ P-waves

⇒ There are no radial excitations in the hadron spectrum

[The title of the paper was:

No Radial Excitations in Low-Energy QCD I: Diquarks and
Classification of Mesons (arXiv: 0910.2229)]

- PDG - 1960's: $^{2S+1}L_J$

- PDG - 1980's: $n^{2S+1}L_J$

- PDG 2004

- experiment

What happens to the radius of hadrons
when they are excited?

What happens to the radius of hadrons
when they are excited?

- The radius of a hadron is largest when the hadron is in its ground state.

Table: Measured sizes of ground state ($L = 0$) hadrons

Mesons				
	Mass (MeV)	Radius (fm)	Density (g/cm ³)	Source
π^\pm	140	.672	.20×10 ¹⁵	PDG
K^\pm	494	.560	1.2×10 ¹⁵	PDG

Baryons				
	Mass (MeV)	Radius (fm)	Density (g/cm ³)	Source
p	938	.87	.61×10 ¹⁵	PDG
Σ^-	1197	.78	1.1×10 ¹⁵	PDG
Δ	1382	.650	2.1×10 ¹⁵	Lattice
	1425	.632	2.4×10 ¹⁵	Lattice
	1470	.614	2.7×10 ¹⁵	Lattice

What happens to the radius of hadrons
when they are excited?

- The radius of a hadron is largest when the hadron is in its ground state.
- The radius of a hadron decreases when the hadron's orbital excitation increases.

What happens to the radius of hadrons
when they are excited?

- The radius of a hadron is largest when the hadron is in its ground state.
- The radius of a hadron decreases when the hadron's orbital excitation increases.

Opposite of atoms:

The radius of an atom is **smallest** in the ground state

The radius of an atom **increases** with L

- Corollary: the path from confinement to asymptotic freedom is a Regge trajectory

- simple testable prediction about a fundamental property of hadrons: their size
- Request to lattice QCD people: please compute more radii!
- Request to experimentalists: please measure more radii!

The title was:

No Radial Excitations in Low-Energy QCD II:
The Shrinking Radius of Hadrons (arXiv: 0910.2231)

The title was:

No Radial Excitations in Low-Energy QCD II:
The Shrinking Radius of Hadrons (arXiv: 0910.2231)

Cover of Nature 9 months later (July 2010):

”Shrinking the proton”

The title was:

No Radial Excitations in Low-Energy QCD II:
The Shrinking Radius of Hadrons (arXiv: 0910.2231)

Cover of Nature 9 months later (July 2010):

”Shrinking the proton”

Physics Today on size of protons (August 2010):

”... the proton is a quark composite whose size and shape
are quantum-chromodynamic manifestations beyond the purview
of QED.”

Summary:

Diquarks and quarks as building blocks

⇒ reclassification of hadron spectrum

⇒ no radial quantum number

⇒ shrinking radius of hadrons