

### Generalized Parton Distributions from light-front wave functions

Chang Lei Khépani Raya Craig D. Roberts, José Rodríguez-Quintero,



11<sup>th</sup> Workshop on Hadron Physics in China...; Nankai U. August 22<sup>nd</sup>- 28<sup>th</sup>

#### Hadron Physics. General Motivation.



#### Hadron Physics. General Motivation.



dominated by the IR dynamics of QCD.

1

#### GPD definition:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\pi}^{q}(x,\xi,t) &= \\ \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle \pi, P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \right| \bar{q} \left( -\frac{z}{2} \right) \gamma^{+} q \left( \frac{z}{2} \right) \left| \pi, P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle_{\substack{z^{+}=0\\z_{\perp}=0}} \end{aligned}$$

with 
$$t = \Delta^2$$
 and  $\xi = -\Delta^+/(2P^+)$ .



#### References

Muller et al., Fortchr. Phys. **42**, 101 (1994) Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. **B380**, 417 (1996) Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 610 (1997)

- From **isospin symmetry**, all the information about pion GPD is encoded in  $H^u_{\pi^+}$  and  $H^d_{\pi^+}$ .
- Further constraint from charge conjugation:  $H^u_{\pi^+}(x,\xi,t) = -H^d_{\pi^+}(-x,\xi,t).$

## GPDs in the Schwinger-Dyson and Bethe-Salpeter approach

sproach  

$$P = \frac{\Delta}{2} \left[ -(0) + (2 + 2) \right] = \frac{\Delta}{2} \left[ -(0)$$

$$\langle \mathbf{x}^{m} \rangle^{q} = \frac{1}{2(P^{+})^{n+1}} \left\langle \pi, P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \left| \bar{q}(0) \gamma^{+} (i\overleftrightarrow{D}^{+})^{m} q(0) \right| \pi, P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle$$



Compute **Mellin moments** of the pion GPD *H*.

Δ

# GPDs in the Schwinger-Dyson and Bethe-Salpeter approach



$$\langle x^{m} \rangle^{q} = \frac{1}{2(P^{+})^{n+1}} \left\langle \pi, P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \left| \bar{\boldsymbol{q}}(0) \gamma^{+} (i \overleftrightarrow{\boldsymbol{D}}^{+})^{m} \boldsymbol{q}(0) \right| \pi, P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle$$



- Compute **Mellin moments** of the pion GPD *H*.
- Triangle diagram approx.

# GPDs in the Schwinger-Dyson and Bethe-Salpeter approach



$$\langle x^m \rangle^q = \frac{1}{2(P^+)^{n+1}} \left\langle \pi, P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \left| \bar{q}(0) \gamma^+ (i\overleftrightarrow{D}^+)^m q(0) \right| \pi, P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle$$



- Compute Mellin moments of the pion GPD H.
- Triangle diagram approx.
- Resum infinitely many contributions.

-1



# GPDs in the Schwinger-Dyson and Bethe-Salpeter approach

$$\langle x^m \rangle^q = \frac{1}{2(P^+)^{n+1}} \left\langle \pi, P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \left| \bar{q}(0) \gamma^+ (i\overleftrightarrow{D}^+)^m q(0) \right| \pi, P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle$$



- Compute Mellin moments of the pion GPD H.
- Triangle diagram approx.
- Resum infinitely many contributions.



#### GPD asymptotic algebraic model:

Expressions for vertices and propagators:

$$S(p) = [-i\gamma \cdot p + M] \Delta_M(p^2)$$
  

$$\Delta_M(s) = \frac{1}{s + M^2}$$
  

$$\Gamma_\pi(k, p) = i\gamma_5 \frac{M}{f_\pi} M^{2\nu} \int_{-1}^{+1} dz \,\rho_\nu(z) \, \left[\Delta_M(k_{+z}^2)\right]^\nu$$
  

$$\rho_\nu(z) = R_\nu (1 - z^2)^\nu$$

with  $R_{\nu}$  a normalization factor and  $k_{+z} = k - p(1-z)/2$ .

Chang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 132001 (2013) Only two parameters:

- Dimensionful parameter *M*.
- Dimensionless parameter v. Fixed to 1 to recover asymptotic pion DA.

#### GPD asymptotic algebraic model:

**Analytic expression** in the DGLAP region.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{H}_{x\geq\xi}^{\mu}(x,\xi,0) &= \frac{48}{5} \left\{ \frac{3\left(-2(x-1)^4 \left(2x^2-5\xi^2+3\right)\log(1-x)\right)}{20\left(\xi^2-1\right)^3} \\ &\quad \frac{3\left(+4\xi \left(15x^2(x+3)+(19x+29)\xi^4+5(x(x(x+11)+21)+3)\xi^2\right)\tanh^{-1}\left(\frac{(x-1)}{x-\xi^2}\right)\right)}{20\left(\xi^2-1\right)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{3\left(x^3(x(2(x-4)x+15)-30)-15(2x(x+5)+5)\xi^4\right)\log\left(x^2-\xi^2\right)}{20\left(\xi^2-1\right)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{3\left(-5x(x(x(x+2)+36)+18)\xi^2-15\xi^6\right)\log\left(x^2-\xi^2\right)}{20\left(\xi^2-1\right)^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{3\left(2(x-1)\left((23x+58)\xi^4+(x(x(x+67)+112)+6)\xi^2+x(x((5-2x)x+15)+53x^2+12)+28x^2+12x$$

GPD asymptotic algebraic model (completion):



$$2(P \cdot n)^{m+1} \langle x^m \rangle^u = \operatorname{tr}_{CFD} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} (k \cdot n)^m \tau_+ i \Gamma_\pi \left( \eta(k-P) + (1-\eta) \left( k - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right), P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right)$$
$$S(k - \frac{\Delta}{2}) i \gamma \cdot n S(k + \frac{\Delta}{2})$$
$$\tau_- i \bar{\Gamma}_\pi \left( (1-\eta) \left( k + \frac{\Delta}{2} \right) + \eta(k-P), P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \right) S(k-P),$$

$$2(P \cdot n)^{m+1} \langle x^m \rangle^u = \operatorname{tr}_{CFD} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} (k \cdot n)^m \tau_+ i \Gamma_\pi \left( \eta(k-P) + (1-\eta) \left( k - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right), P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right)$$
$$S(k - \frac{\Delta}{2}) \tau_- \frac{\partial}{\partial k} \bar{\Gamma}_\pi \left( (1-\eta) \left( k + \frac{\Delta}{2} \right) + \eta(k-P), P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \right) S(k-P)$$

GPD asymptotic algebraic model (completion):



GPD asymptotic algebraic model (completion):



GPD overlap approach: The pion light front wave function

$$|H; P, \lambda\rangle = \sum_{N,\beta} \int [dx]_N [d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}]_N \Psi_{N,\beta}^{\lambda}(\Omega) |N, \beta, k_1 \cdots k_N) \qquad \Omega = (x_1, \mathbf{k}_{\perp 1}, \cdots, x_N, \mathbf{k}_{\perp N})$$

$$[dx]_N = \prod_{i=1}^N dx_i \,\delta \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^N x_i\right),$$
N-partons LCWF for the hadron H
$$[d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}]_N = \frac{1}{(16\pi^3)^{N-1}} \prod_{i=1}^N d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp i} \,\delta^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{k}_{\perp i} - \mathbf{P}_{\perp}\right)$$
Let's consider the two-body pion LCWF:
$$\sum_{N,\beta} \int [dx]_N [d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}]_N |\Psi_{N,\beta}^{\lambda}(\Omega)|^2 = 1.$$

$$|\pi^+, P||_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{2\text{-body}} = \int \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(k^+, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \left[b_{u\uparrow}^{\dagger}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})d_{d\downarrow}^{\dagger}(1-x, -\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) + b_{u\downarrow}^{\dagger}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})d_{d\uparrow}^{\dagger}(1-x, -\mathbf{k}_{\perp})\right] |0\rangle, \qquad \Gamma_{\pi}(k, P) = S^{-1}(-k_2) \chi(k, P) S^{-1}(k_1).$$
BS wave function

#### GPD overlap approach: The pion light front wave function

$$2P^{+}\Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(k^{+}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = \int \frac{dk^{-}}{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\gamma^{+}\gamma_{5}\chi(k, P)\right]$$
BS wave function
$$\Gamma_{\pi}(k, P) = S^{-1}(-k_{2})\chi(k, P) S^{-1}(k_{1}).$$
• Expressions for vertices and propagators:
$$S(p) = [-i\gamma \cdot p + M] \Delta_{M}(p^{2})$$

$$\Delta_{M}(s) = \frac{1}{s + M^{2}}$$

$$\Gamma_{\pi}(k, p) = i\gamma_{5}\frac{M}{f_{\pi}}M^{2\nu}\int_{-1}^{+1} dz \rho_{\nu}(z) \left[\Delta_{M}(k_{+z}^{2})\right]^{\nu}$$

$$\rho_{\nu}(z) = R_{\nu}(1 - z^{2})^{\nu}$$
with  $R_{\nu}$  a normalization factor and  $k_{+z} = k - p(1 - z)/2.$ 
Chang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 132001 (2013)
$$\Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(\nu + 1)}{\Gamma(\nu + 2)}\frac{M^{2\nu + 1}4^{\nu}R_{\nu}}{[\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^{2} + M^{2}]^{\nu + 1}}x^{\nu}(1 - x)^{\nu}.$$



#### GPD overlap approach:

Helicity-0 two-body pion LCWF:

$$\Psi_{\uparrow\downarrow}(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = -\frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)}{\Gamma(\nu+2)} \frac{M^{2\nu+1} 4^{\nu} R_{\nu}}{\left[\mathbf{k}_{\perp}^2 + M^2\right]^{\nu+1}} x^{\nu} (1-x)^{\nu}.$$

GPD in the overlap approach:

$$H(x,\xi,t) = \frac{\Gamma(2\nu+2)}{\Gamma(\nu+2)^2} \int du dv \ u^{\nu} v^{\nu} \delta \left(1-u-v\right) \frac{\left(2M^{2\nu}4^{\nu}R_{\nu}\right)^2 \hat{x}^{\nu}(1-\hat{x})^{\nu} \tilde{x}^{\nu}(1-\hat{x})^{\nu}}{\left(t \ u v \frac{(1-x)^2}{1-\xi^2} + M^2\right)^{2\nu+1}} = \frac{30 \frac{(1-x)^2(x^2-\xi^2)}{(1-\xi^2)^2} \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1-2z}{1+z} \frac{\operatorname{arctanh}}{\sqrt{\frac{z}{1+z}}}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{z}{1+z}}} \frac{\frac{x+\xi}{1-\xi}}{\sqrt{\frac{z}{1+z}}}$$

$$z \; = \; \frac{t}{4M^2} \frac{(1-x)^2}{1-\xi^2}$$

Encoding the correlations of kinematical variables



### Pion (kaon maybe) realistic picture:

The pseudoscalar LFWF can be written:

$$f_K \psi_K^{\uparrow\downarrow}(x,k_\perp^2) = \operatorname{tr}_{CD} \int_{dk_\parallel} \delta(n \cdot k - xn \cdot P_K) \gamma_5 \gamma \cdot n \chi_K^{(2)}(k_-^K;P_K) \; .$$

The moments of the distribution are given by:

The spectral density  $\rho_{\kappa}(z)$  can be modelled...

...Or taken with BSE solutions as an input!

$$\Rightarrow \psi_K^{\uparrow\downarrow}(x,k_\perp^2) \sim \int d\omega \cdots \rho_K(\omega) \cdots$$

### Pion realistic picture:





#### Pion realistic picture:

GPD overlap representation:

$$H_{M}^{q}(x,\xi,t) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{k}_{\perp}}{16\,\pi^{3}}\Psi_{u\bar{f}}^{*}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{1-\xi},\mathbf{k}_{\perp} + \frac{1-x}{1-\xi}\frac{\Delta_{\perp}}{2}\right)\Psi_{u\bar{f}}\left(\frac{x+\xi}{1+\xi},\mathbf{k}_{\perp} - \frac{1-x}{1+\xi}\frac{\Delta_{\perp}}{2}\right)$$

#### Phenomenological model



GPD overlap representation: forward limit

$$H_M^q\left(x,\xi,t\right) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\,\pi^3} \Psi_{u\bar{f}}^*\left(\frac{x-\xi}{1-\xi},\mathbf{k}_\perp + \frac{1-x}{1-\xi}\frac{\Delta}{2}\right) \Psi_{u\bar{f}}\left(\frac{x+\xi}{1+\xi},\mathbf{k}_\perp - \frac{1-x}{1+\xi}\frac{\Delta}{2}\right)$$

#### Phenomenological model



$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \left| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \left| P \right\rangle \right|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} \right. = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{u\overline{f}}^{*}\left(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right) \Psi_{u\overline{f}}\left(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}\right)$$





$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \middle| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \middle| P \right\rangle \left|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{u\overline{I}}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{u\overline{I}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \\ \text{LFWF leading to asymptotic PDAs} \\ q_{sf}(x) \approx 30 x^{2} (1-x)^{2} \\ q_{sf}(x) \approx 30 x^{2} (1-x)^{2} \\ \text{The more realistic pion 2-body LFWF} \\ g_{1,0} \\ 0.5 \\ 0.0 \\$$

$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \middle| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \middle| P \right\rangle \Big|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{u\overline{J}}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{u\overline{J}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \\ \text{LFWF leading to asymptotic PDAs} \\ q_{sF}(x) \approx 30 x^{2} (1-x)^{2} \\ \text{A more realistic pion} \\ q(x,\zeta_{H}) \overset{\text{GeV}}{=} \frac{q(x,\zeta_{H}) \overset{\text{GeD}}{=} - q(x,\zeta_{H}) \overset{\text{GeD}}{=} - q(x,\zeta_{$$

$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \middle| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \middle| P \right\rangle \Big|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{uT}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp})$$

$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \middle| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \middle| P \right\rangle \left|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{uT}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{uT}(x,\mathbf{k})$$

$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \middle| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \middle| P \right\rangle \middle|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{u\overline{J}}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{u\overline{J}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{u\overline{J}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp})$$

$$M_{n}(t) = \int_{0}^{1} dx \, x^{n} q(x, t)$$
$$t = \ln\left(\frac{\xi^{2}}{\xi_{0}^{2}}\right)$$







A master equation for the (1-loop) moments' evolution:  

$$\frac{d}{dt}q(x,t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi}\int_{x}^{1}\frac{dy}{y}q(y,t)P(\frac{x}{y})+\dots$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}a_{n}(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi}\gamma_{0}^{n}M_{n}(t)+\dots$$

$$P(x) = \frac{8}{3}\left(\frac{1+z^{2}}{(1-x)_{+}}+\frac{3}{2}\delta(x-1)\right)$$

$$\gamma_{n} = -\frac{4}{3}\left(3+\frac{2}{(n+2)(n+3)}-4\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\frac{1}{i}\right)$$



A master equation for the (1-loop) moments' evolution:  

$$\frac{d}{dt}q(x,t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dy}{y}q(y,t)P(\frac{x}{y}) + \dots$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}q(x,t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi} \int_{x}^{0} \frac{dy}{y}q(y,t)P(\frac{x}{y}) + \dots$$
Moments' evolution (1-loop):  

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_{n}(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi} y_{0}^{n}M_{n}(t) + \dots$$

$$P(x) = \frac{8}{3} \left( \frac{1+z^{2}}{(1-x)_{*}} + \frac{3}{2}\delta(x-1) \right)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\alpha(t) = -\frac{\alpha^{2}(t)}{4\pi}\beta_{0} + \dots$$

$$y_{0}^{n} = -\frac{4}{3} \left( 3 + \frac{2}{(n+2)(n+3)} - 4\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{i} \right)$$

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_{0}(t-t_{\Lambda})} + \dots$$

$$\frac{(x+t_{n}-t_{\Lambda}-t_{\Lambda})}{(t_{\Lambda}-t_{\Lambda})} + \dots$$

0.0

A master equation for the (1-loop) moments' evolution:  

$$\frac{d}{dt}q(x,t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dy}{y}q(y,t)P(\frac{x}{y}) + \dots \qquad t = \ln(\frac{\zeta^{2}}{\zeta_{0}^{2}})$$
Moments' evolution (1-loop):  

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_{n}(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi}y_{0}^{n}M_{n}(t) + \dots \qquad P(x) = \frac{8}{3}\left(\frac{1+z^{2}}{(1-x)_{+}} + \frac{3}{2}\delta(x-1)\right)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\alpha(t) = -\frac{\alpha^{2}(t)}{4\pi}\beta_{0} + \dots \qquad y_{0}^{n} = -\frac{4}{3}\left(3 + \frac{2}{(n+2)(n+3)} - 4\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}\frac{1}{i}\right)$$

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_{0}(t-t_{\Lambda})} + \dots \qquad y_{0}^{n} = M_{n}(t_{0})\left(\frac{\alpha(t)}{\alpha(t_{0})}\right)^{y_{0}^{n}/\beta_{0}}$$

Which value of Lambda?

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0(t-t_\Lambda)} + \dots = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\zeta^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} + \dots$$

Which value of Lambda? It depends on the scheme... Indeed, at the one-loop level, its value defines by itself the scheme!!!

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0(t-t_\Lambda)} + \dots = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln(\frac{\zeta^2}{\Lambda^2})} + \dots$$
$$\alpha(t) = \overline{\alpha}(t) (1 + C \overline{\alpha}(t) + \dots)$$
$$\ln(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\overline{\Lambda}^2}) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} - \frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}(t)}\right) + \dots = \frac{4\pi C}{\beta_0}$$

Which value of Lambda? It depends on the scheme... Indeed, at the one-loop level, its value defines by itself the scheme!!!

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0(t - t_\Lambda)} + \dots = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\zeta^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} + \dots$$
$$\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\overline{\Lambda}^2}\right) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} - \frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}(t)}\right) + \dots = \frac{4\pi C}{\beta_0}$$

$$\alpha(t) = \overline{\alpha}(t) (1 + c \ \overline{\alpha}(t) + \ldots)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_n(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi}\gamma_0^n M_n(t) + \dots$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\alpha(t) = -\frac{\alpha^2(t)}{4\pi}\beta_0 + \dots$$

The evolution will thus depend on the scheme *via* the perturbative truncation
Which value of Lambda? It depends on the scheme... Indeed, at the one-loop level, its value defines by itself the scheme!!!

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0(t - t_\Lambda)} + \dots = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\zeta^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} + \dots$$
$$\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\overline{\Lambda}^2}\right) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} - \frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}(t)}\right) + \dots = \frac{4\pi C}{\beta_0}$$

$$\alpha(t) = \overline{\alpha}(t) (1 + c \ \overline{\alpha}(t) + \ldots)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_{n}(t) = -\frac{\overline{\alpha}(t)}{4\pi}\gamma_{0}^{n}M_{n}(t) + \dots$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\overline{\alpha}(t) = -\frac{\overline{\alpha}^{2}(t)}{4\pi}\beta_{0} + \dots$$

The evolution will thus depend on the scheme *via* the perturbative truncation and the usual prejudice is that truncation errors are optimally small in MS scheme.

PDG2018:  
[PRD98(2018)030001] 
$$\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(5)} = (210 \pm 14) \text{ MeV},$$
 (9.24b)  
 $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(4)} = (292 \pm 16) \text{ MeV},$  (9.24c)  
 $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(3)} = (292 \pm 17) \text{ MeV},$  (9.24c)

$$\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(3)} = (332 \pm 17) \text{ MeV}, \qquad (9.24d)$$







Which value of Lambda? It depends on the scheme... Indeed, at the one-loop level, its value defines by itself the scheme!!!

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0(t - t_\Lambda)} + \dots = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\zeta^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} + \dots$$
$$\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\overline{\Lambda}^2}\right) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} - \frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}(t)}\right) + \dots = \frac{4\pi c}{\beta_0}$$

$$\alpha(t) = \overline{\alpha}(t) (1 + c \ \overline{\alpha}(t) + \ldots)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_n(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi}\gamma_0^n M_n(t) + \dots$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}\alpha(t) = -\frac{\alpha^2(t)}{4\pi}\beta_0 + \dots$$

The evolution will thus depend on the scheme *via* the perturbative truncation

The use of  $\Lambda$ =0.234 GeV can be thus interpreted as the choice of particular scheme, differing from MS.

Which value of Lambda? It depends on the scheme... Indeed, at the one-loop level, its value defines by itself the scheme!!!

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0(t - t_\Lambda)} + \dots = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{\zeta^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)} + \dots$$
$$\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0} \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} - \frac{1}{\overline{\alpha}(t)}\right) + \dots = \frac{4\pi c}{\beta_0}$$

$$\alpha(t) = \overline{\alpha}(t) (1 + c \ \overline{\alpha}(t) + \ldots)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_n(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi}\gamma_0^n M_n(t)$$

The evolution will thus depend on the scheme *via* the perturbative truncation

The use of  $\Lambda = 0.234$  GeV can be thus interpreted as the choice of particular scheme, differing from MS. Beyond this, the scheme can be defined in such a way that one-loop DGLAP is exact at all orders (Grunberg's effective charge).

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{m_\alpha^2 + \zeta_0^2 \exp(t)}{\Lambda^2}\right)} \oint \beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{m_\alpha^2 + k^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)$$
$$t = \ln\left(\frac{k^2}{\zeta_0^2}\right)$$



$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{m_{\alpha}^2 + \zeta_0^2 \exp(t)}{\Lambda^2}\right)} = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{m_{\alpha}^2 + k^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)}$$

$$\alpha(0) = \alpha_{pl}(0) \rightarrow m_{\alpha} = 0.300 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} M_n(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi} \gamma_0^n M_n(t)$$
Numerical integration with the effective charge
$$M_n(t) = M_n(t_0) \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_0^n}{4\pi} \int_{t_0}^t dz \, \alpha(z)\right)$$

$$M_n(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t_0} dx x^n q(x, t)$$

$$\gamma_0^n = -\frac{4}{3} \left(3 + \frac{2}{(n+2)(n+3)} - \frac{n^{n+1}}{2} \frac{1}{1}\right)$$

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{m_{\alpha}^2 + \zeta_0^2 \exp(t)}{\Lambda^2}\right)} = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_0 \ln\left(\frac{m_{\alpha}^2 + k^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)}$$

$$\alpha(0) = \alpha_{PI}(0) \Rightarrow m_{\alpha} = 0.300 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} M_n(t) = -\frac{\alpha(t)}{4\pi} \gamma_0^n M_n(t)$$
Numerical integration with the effective charge
$$M_n(t) = M_n(t_0) \exp\left(-\frac{\gamma_0^n}{4\pi} \int_{t_0}^t dz \, \alpha(z)\right)$$

$$M_n(t) = -\frac{4}{3}\left(3 + \frac{2}{(n+2)(n+3)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{i}\right)$$

If one identifies:  $m_{\alpha} \equiv \zeta_H$ , all the scales (and the evolution between them) appear thus fixed, apart from  $\Lambda_{QCD}$  (fixed by the scheme).



If one identifies:  $m_{\alpha} \equiv \zeta_H$ , all the scales (and the evolution between them) appear thus fixed, apart from  $\Lambda_{QCD}$  (fixed by the scheme). And the agreement with E615 data is perfect!!!

Then, one can evolve the pion PDF, e.g. the one obtained by direct computation of Mellin moments, by using DGLAP evolution from one unknown hadronic scale up to the relevant one for the E615 experiment:



If one identifies:  $m_{\alpha} \equiv \zeta_H$ , all the scales (and the evolution between them) appear thus fixed, apart from  $\Lambda_{QCD}$  (fixed by the scheme). And the agreement with E615 data is perfect!!!

# Pion realistic picture: PDF as benchmark

The pion PDF can be computed as the lightfront projection of the hadronic matrix element of a bilocal operator and, in the overlap representation at low Fock states, can be expressed in terms of 2-body LFWFs at a given hadronic scale

$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \middle| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \middle| P \right\rangle \middle|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{u\overline{J}}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{u\overline{J}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \\ \text{LFWF leading to asymptotic PDAs} \\ q_{sf}(x) \approx 30 x^{2} (1-x)^{2} \\ q_{sf}(x) \approx 30 x^{2} (1-x)^{2} \\ \text{A more realistic pion} \\ 2\text{-body LFWF} \\ \frac{q(x,\zeta_{H}) \text{ Asy}}{2 - \text{body LFWF}} \\ \frac{q(x,\zeta_{H}) \text{ Asy$$

# Pion (more) realistic picture: PDF as benchmark

The pion PDF can be computed as the lightfront projection of the hadronic matrix element of a bilocal operator and, in the overlap representation at low Fock states, can be expressed in terms of 2-body LFWFs at a given hadronic scale

$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \left| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \right| P \right\rangle \Big|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{u\overline{f}}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{u\overline{f}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \\ \text{LFWF leading to asymptotic PDAs} \\ q_{sf}(x) \approx 30 x^{2}(1-x)^{2} \\ q_{sf}(x) \approx 30 x^{2}(1-x)^{2} \\ \text{A more realistic pion} \\ 2\text{-body } \text{LFWF} \\ \text{FWF} \\ \text{FWF$$

# Pion (more) realistic picture: PDF as benchmark



# Pion (more) realistic picture: PDF as benchmark

The pion PDF can be computed as the lightfront projection of the hadronic matrix element of a bilocal operator and, in the overlap representation at low Fock states, can be expressed in terms of 2-body LFWFs at a given hadronic scale

$$q^{\pi}(x;\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ixP^{+}z^{-}} \left\langle P \middle| \overline{\psi}^{q}(-z)\gamma^{+}\psi^{q}(z) \middle| P \right\rangle \middle|_{z^{+}=0,z_{\perp}=0} = \int \frac{d^{2}k_{\perp}}{16\pi^{3}} \Psi_{u\overline{J}}^{*}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \Psi_{u\overline{J}}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp})$$

$$\zeta_{H} \equiv m_{\alpha} \rightarrow \zeta_{2} = 5.2 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\zeta_{H} \equiv m_{\alpha} \rightarrow \zeta_{2} = 5.2 \text{ GeV}$$

$$f_{\alpha}(x,\zeta_{H}) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{dz^{-}}{dx} \int_{z^{+}}^{z^{-}} \int_{z^{-}}^{z^{-}} \int$$

10

#### Pion (more) realistic picture: GPD



$$H_M^q\left(x,\xi,t\right) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\,\pi^3} \Psi_{u\bar{f}}^*\left(\frac{x-\xi}{1-\xi},\mathbf{k}_\perp + \frac{1-x}{1-\xi}\frac{\Delta_\perp}{2}\right) \Psi_{u\bar{f}}\left(\frac{x+\xi}{1+\xi},\mathbf{k}_\perp - \frac{1-x}{1+\xi}\frac{\Delta_\perp}{2}\right)$$



#### Pion (more) realistic picture: Elect. Form Factor



LFWF evolution:



$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int d^2 \vec{k}_\perp \psi^{\uparrow\downarrow}(x,k_\perp^2)$$

- We look for a way to evolve the LFWF.
- First, let's assume that the LFWF admits a similar Gegenbauer expansion. That is:

$$\begin{split} \psi(x,k_{\perp}^{2};\zeta) &= 6x(1-x) \left[ \sum_{n=0} b_{n}(k_{\perp}^{2};\zeta) \ C_{n}^{3/2}(2x-1) \right] ,\\ a_{n}(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^{3}} \int d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp} \ b_{n}(k_{\perp}^{2};\zeta) \ (\text{for } n \geq 1) \ , \ \frac{1}{16\pi^{3}} \int d^{2}\vec{k}_{\perp} \ b_{0}(k_{\perp}^{2};\zeta) = 1 \ . \end{split}$$

• 1-loop ERBL evolution of  $a_n(\zeta)$  implies:

$$\frac{1}{a_n(\zeta)} \frac{d}{d \ln \zeta^2} a_n(\zeta) = \frac{\int d^2 \vec{k}_\perp \frac{d}{d \ln \zeta^2} b_n(k_\perp^2;\zeta)}{\int d^2 \vec{k}_\perp b_n(k_\perp^2;\zeta)} ,$$

#### Standard PDA evolution:



We project PDA onto a 3/2-Gegenbauer polynomial basis. Such that it evolves, from an initial scale ζ<sub>0</sub> to a final scale ζ, according to the corresponding ERBL equations:

$$\phi(x;\zeta) = 6x(1-x) \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\zeta) C_n^{3/2}(2x-1) \right] ,$$

$$a_n(\zeta) = a_n(\zeta_0) \left[ \frac{\alpha(\zeta^2)}{\alpha(\zeta_0^2)} \right]^{\gamma_0^n/\beta_0} , \ \gamma_0^n = -\frac{4}{3} \left[ 3 + \frac{2}{(n+1)(n+2)} - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{k} \right] .$$

- - Quark mass and flavor become irrelevant. Broad PDA becomes narrower, skewed PDA becomes symmetric.

LFWF evolution:

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \int d^2 \vec{k}_\perp \psi^{\uparrow\downarrow}(x,k_\perp^2)$$



• Now, if we take a factorization assumtion, we arrive at:

 $\frac{b_n(k_\perp^2;\zeta)}{b_n(k_\perp^2;\zeta_0)} = \frac{\widehat{b}_n(\zeta)}{\widehat{b}_n(\zeta_0)} = \left[\frac{\alpha(\zeta^2)}{\alpha(\zeta_0^2)}\right]^{\gamma_0^n/\beta_0} , \ b_n(k_\perp^2;\zeta) \equiv \widehat{b}_n(\zeta)\chi_n(k_\perp^2) .$ 

- Suplemented by the condition  $\chi_n(k_{\perp}^2) \equiv \chi(k_{\perp}^2)$ , one gets  $\hat{b}_n(\zeta) \equiv a_n(\zeta)$ .
- Such that, the followiong factorised form is obtained:

$$\psi(x,k_{\perp}^{2};\zeta) \ \equiv \ \phi(x;\zeta) \ \chi(k_{\perp}^{2}) \longrightarrow {\rm LFWF} \ {\rm Evolves} \ {\rm like} \ {\rm PDA}$$

Which is far from being a general result, but an useful approximation instead.

Testing the factorization ansatz:



$$\psi(x, k_{\perp}^2; \zeta) \equiv \phi(x; \zeta) \chi(k_{\perp}^2)$$

 A first validation of the factorized ansätz is addressed in Phys.Rev. D97 (2018) no.9, 094014:



k<sup>2</sup>=0, k<sup>2</sup>=0.2 GeV, k<sup>2</sup>=0.8 GeV, k<sup>2</sup>=3.2 GeV

 If the factorized ansatz is a good approximation, then the plotted ratio must be 1. For the pion, it slightly deviates from 1; for the kaon, the deviation is much larger.

#### Testing the factorization ansatz:





How ERBL and DGLAP evolutions make contact:





How ERBL and DGLAP evolutions make contact:







Owing to a sensible parametrisation of the BSA grounded on the so-called Nakanishi representation, one is left with a flexible algebraic model for the LFWF in terms of a spectral density.



Owing to a sensible parametrisation of the BSA grounded on the so-called Nakanishi representation, one is left with a flexible algebraic model for the LFWF in terms of a spectral density.

A direct calculation of the PDF from realistic quark gap and Bethe-Salpeter equations' solutions (in the forward kinematical limit) delivers a benchmark result to identify the spectral density which corresponds to the realistic LFWF.





Owing to a sensible parametrisation of the BSA grounded on the so-called Nakanishi representation, one is left with a flexible algebraic model for the LFWF in terms of a spectral density.

A direct calculation of the PDF from realistic quark gap and Bethe-Salpeter equations' solutions (in the forward kinematical limit) delivers a benchmark result to identify the spectral density which corresponds to the realistic LFWF.





The overlap representation provides with a simple way to calculate beyond the forward kinematic limit, and thus obtain the GPD, although only in the DGLAP region.



Owing to a sensible parametrisation of the BSA grounded on the so-called Nakanishi representation, one is left with a flexible algebraic model for the LFWF in terms of a spectral density.

A direct calculation of the PDF from realistic quark gap and Bethe-Salpeter equations' solutions (in the forward kinematical limit) delivers a benchmark result to identify the spectral density which corresponds to the realistic LFWF.





The overlap representation provides with a simple way to calculate beyond the forward kinematic limit, and thus obtain the GPD, although only in the DGLAP region.

A recently proposed PI effective charge can be used to make the DGLAP GPD evolve from the hadronic scale (where quasi-particle DSE's solutions are the correct degrees-of-freedom) up to any other relevant scale.





0.5 x

1.0

Owing to a sensible parametrisation of the BSA grounded on the so-called Nakanishi representation, one is left with a flexible algebraic model for the LFWF in terms of a spectral density.

 $= q(x, \zeta_H) \text{ GPD}$  $= q(x, \zeta_H) \text{ Asy}$  $= q(x, \zeta_H) \text{ Rea}$ 

A direct calculation of the PDF ( Bethe-Salpeter equivient in the pope) and the pope of th

The overlap representation provides with a simple way to calculate beyond the forward kinematic limit, and thus obtain the GPD, although only in the DGLAP region.

A recently proposed PI effective charge can be used to make the DGLAP GPD evolve from the hadronic scale (where quasi-particle DSE's solutions are the correct degrees-of-freedom) up to any other relevant scale.

0.5

0.0



0.8

# Backslides

#### A word about GPD polinomiality first:

Express Mellin moments of GPDs as matrix elements:

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} \mathrm{d}x \, x^m H^q(x,\xi,t)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2(P^+)^{m+1}} \left\langle P + \frac{\Delta}{2} \right| \bar{q}(0) \gamma^+ (i\overleftrightarrow{D}^+)^m q(0) \left| P - \frac{\Delta}{2} \right\rangle$$

Identify the Lorentz structure of the matrix element:

linear combination of  $(P^+)^{m+1-k} (\Delta^+)^k$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m+1$ 

- Remember definition of skewness  $\Delta^+ = -2\xi P^+$ .
- Select even powers to implement time reversal.
- Obtain polynomiality condition:

$$\int_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{d}x \, x^m H^q(x,\xi,t) = \sum_{i=0 \atop \text{even}}^m (2\xi)^i C^q_{mi}(t) + (2\xi)^{m+1} C^q_{mm+1}(t) \; .$$

#### Definition and evaluation:

Pion gravitational form factors are defined through\*: Polinomiality!

$$J_{\pi^+}(-t,\xi) \equiv \int_{-1}^1 dx \ x H_{\pi^+}(x,\xi,t) = \Theta_2(t) - \Theta_1(t)\xi^2 \ .$$

• Taking  $\xi=0$  + isospin symmetric limit, one can readily compute:

$$\Theta_2(t) = \int_0^1 dx \; x [H^u_{\pi^+}(x,0,t) + H^d_{\pi^+}(x,0,t)] = \int_0^1 dx \; 2x H^u_{\pi^+}(x,0,t) \; .$$

- To obtain Θ<sub>1</sub>(t), we need to take a non zero value of ξ; hence requiring the knowledge of the GPD in the ERBL region.
- Nevertheless, one can approximate Θ₁(t), by estimating the derivative of Jπ<sup>+</sup>(−t, ξ) with respect to ξ<sup>2</sup> as:

$$D(\xi + \Delta/2) \equiv \frac{J(\xi + \Delta) - J(\xi)}{2(\xi + \Delta/2)\Delta} , \ \Delta \to 0 .$$

\*Phys.Rev. D78 (2008) 094011.

#### Definition and evaluation:

Pion gravitational form factors are defined through\*: Polynomiality!

$$J_{\pi^+}(-t,\xi) \equiv \int_{-1}^1 dx \ x H_{\pi^+}(x,\xi,t) = \Theta_2(t) - \Theta_1(t)\xi^2 \ .$$

• Taking  $\xi=0$  + isospin symmetric limit, one can readily compute:

$$\Theta_2(t) = \int_0^1 dx \; x [H^u_{\pi^+}(x,0,t) + H^d_{\pi^+}(x,0,t)] = \int_0^1 dx \; 2x H^u_{\pi^+}(x,0,t) \; .$$

- To obtain Θ<sub>1</sub>(t), we need to take a non zero value of ξ; hence requiring the knowledge of the GPD in the ERBL region.
- Nevertheless, one can approximate Θ₁(t), by estimating the derivative of Jπ+(−t, ξ) with respect to ξ² as:

$$D(\xi + \Delta/2) \equiv \frac{J(\xi + \Delta) - J(\xi)}{2(\xi + \Delta/2)\Delta}, \ \Delta \to 0$$

\*Phys.Rev. D78 (2008) 094011.

Polinomiality tells us that it is enough to evaluate in the vicinity of zero!

#### Definition and evaluation:

Pion gravitational form factors are defined through\*: Polynomiality!

$$J_{\pi^+}(-t,\xi) \equiv \int_{-1}^1 dx \ x H_{\pi^+}(x,\xi,t) = \Theta_2(t) - \Theta_1(t)\xi^2 \ .$$

• Taking  $\xi = 0$  + isospin symmetric limit, one can readily compute:

$$\Theta_2(t) = \int_0^1 dx \; x [H^u_{\pi^+}(x,0,t) + H^d_{\pi^+}(x,0,t)] = \int_0^1 dx \; 2x H^u_{\pi^+}(x,0,t) \; .$$

- To obtain Θ<sub>1</sub>(t), we need to take a non zero value of ξ; hence requiring the knowledge of the GPD in the ERBL region.
- Nevertheless, one can approximate Θ₁(t), by estimating the derivative of Jπ<sup>+</sup>(−t, ξ) with respect to ξ<sup>2</sup> as:

$$D(\Delta/2) \equiv \frac{J(\Delta) - J(0)}{\Delta^2} \ , \ \Delta \to 0$$

\*Phys.Rev. D78 (2008) 094011.

Polinomiality tells us that it is enough to evaluate in the vicinity of zero!
### Definition and evaluation:

• To get a clearer picture, let's split  $J(-t, \xi)$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} J(-t,\xi) &= \int_{-\xi}^{1} dx \; 2x H(x,\xi,t) = \left[ \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} dx + \int_{\xi}^{1} dx \right] 2x H(x,\xi,t) \\ &\Rightarrow J(-t,\xi) = J^{\text{ERBL}}(-t,\xi) + J^{\text{DGLAP}}(-t,\xi) \;, \end{split}$$

Notice that, because of the polinomiality of the complete GPD:

$$J^{\text{DGLAP}}(-t,\xi) = \Theta_2(t) - \xi^2 \Theta_1(t)^{\text{DGLAP}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(t)\xi^{2+i} ,$$
$$J^{\text{ERBL}}(-t,\xi) = -\xi^2 \Theta_1(t)^{\text{ERBL}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(t)\xi^{2+i} ,$$

Thus, since so far we can only access DGLAP region: (overlap approximation)

$$J^{\text{DGLAP}}(-t,\xi) = \Theta_2(t) - \xi^2 \Theta_1(t)^{\text{DGLAP}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(t)\xi^{2+i}$$

### Definition and evaluation:

• To get a clearer picture, let's split  $J(-t, \xi)$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} J(-t,\xi) &= \int_{-\xi}^{1} dx \; 2x H(x,\xi,t) = \left[ \int_{-\xi}^{\xi} dx + \int_{\xi}^{1} dx \right] 2x H(x,\xi,t) \\ &\Rightarrow J(-t,\xi) = J^{\text{ERBL}}(-t,\xi) + J^{\text{DGLAP}}(-t,\xi) \;, \end{split}$$

Notice that, because of the polinomiality of the complete GPD:

$$J^{\text{DGLAP}}(-t,\xi) = \Theta_2(t) - \xi^2 \Theta_1(t)^{\text{DGLAP}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(t)\xi^{2+i} ,$$
$$J^{\text{ERBL}}(-t,\xi) = \left(-\xi^2 \Theta_1(t)^{\text{ERBL}}\right) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(t)\xi^{2+i}$$

Thus, since so far we can only access DGLAP region: (overlap approximation)

$$J^{\text{DGLAP}}(-t,\xi) = \Theta_2(t) - \xi^2 \Theta_1(t)^{\text{DGLAP}} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i(t)\xi^{2+i}$$

### Definition and evaluation:

- The extension to **ERBL region** is then **needed**. Taking advantage of the soft-pion theorem, one can conect PDA with  $J(-t,\xi)^{ERBL}$  and thus with  $\Theta_1(t)^{ERBL}$ .
- Nonetheless, polinomiality of GPD is not fulfilled without the ERBL región. Such extension is necessary to provide a more reliable computation of Θ<sub>1</sub>.



Latt.: D. Brommel, Ph.D. thesis, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany (2007), DESY-THESIS-2007-023

### Definition and evaluation:

- The extension to **ERBL region** is then **needed**. Taking advantage of the soft-pion theorem, one can conect PDA with  $J(-t,\xi)^{ERBL}$  and thus with  $\Theta_1(t)^{ERBL}$ .
- Nonetheless, polinomiality of GPD is not fulfilled without the ERBL región. Such extension is necessary to provide a more reliable computation of Θ<sub>1</sub>.



Latt.: D. Brommel, Ph.D. thesis, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany (2007), DESY-THESIS-2007-023