Discussion On Future Facilities For High Energy Physics In China

Zhengguo Zhao

University of Science and Technology of China

Outline

- High energy physics after the discovery of Higgs boson
- Discussions led by HEPAC on future facilities of high energy physics
 - Z Factory
 - **HIEPA** (High Intense Electron Positron Accelerator)
 - CEPC + SppC
 - Circular Electron Positron Collider (Higgs Factory)
 - Super Proton Proton Collider (100 TeV)
 - EIC (Electron Ion Collider)
- Non accelerator particle physics
- Summary

SM Is Complete After The Discovery Of Higgs

Precision and property

- Mass, width and spin parity
- Prod. modes and cross sections
- Decay modes
- Couplings

Search for

- 2 HDM
- MSSM, NMSSM
- Doubly charged Higgs

Ø

Higgs as tools for discovery

- DM (invisible Higgs)
- Hidden sectors
- BSM with H in the final states (ZH, WH, HH)

New physics beyond SM

- Dark matter
- Antimatter
- SUSY

TeV Data Agree With The SM



ATLAS Exotic Searches

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

full data

partial data

Status: ICHEP 2014

full data

	Model	e, μ, τ, γ	Jets	$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$	∫£ dt[fb	⁻¹] Mass limit		Reference
Inclusive Searches	$ \begin{array}{l} MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ MSUGRA/CMSSM \\ \overline{qq}, \overline{q} \rightarrow q \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \overline{gg}, \overline{g} \rightarrow q \overline{q} (\ell \ell / \ell \nu / \nu \nu \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ GMSB (\ell LLSP) \\ GGM (bino NLSP) \\ GGM (bino NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino-bino NLSP) \\ GGM (higgsino NLSP) \\ GGW (higgsino NLSP) \\ Gravitino LSP \end{array} $	$\begin{matrix} 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 - 2 \ \tau + 0 - 1 \ \ell \\ 2 \ \gamma \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \gamma \\ \gamma \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (Z) \\ 0 \end{matrix}$	2-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 2-6 jets 3-6 jets 2-4 jets 0-2 jets - 1 <i>b</i> 0-3 jets mono-jet	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 4.7 20.3 20.3 4.8 4.8 5.8 10.5	\tilde{q}, \tilde{g} 1.7 TeV \tilde{g} 1.2 TeV \tilde{g} 1.1 TeV \tilde{g} 1.1 TeV \tilde{g} 1.1 TeV \tilde{g} 1.1 TeV \tilde{g} 1.3 TeV \tilde{g} 1.33 TeV \tilde{g} 1.18 TeV \tilde{g} 1.12 TeV \tilde{g} 1.12 TeV \tilde{g} 1.24 TeV \tilde{g} 1.28 TeV \tilde{g} 619 GeV \tilde{g} 600 GeV \tilde{g} 600 GeV \tilde{g} 600 GeV \tilde{g} 645 GeV	$\begin{split} & m(\bar{q}) \!=\! m(\bar{g}) \\ & \text{any } m(\bar{q}) \\ & \text{any } m(\bar{q}) \\ & m(\bar{x}_1^0) \!=\! O GeV, m(1^{14} gen. \bar{\mathfrak{q}}) \!=\! m(2^{nd} gen. \bar{\mathfrak{q}}) \\ & m(\tilde{x}_1^0) \!=\! O GeV \\ & m(\tilde{x}_1^0) \!=\! O GeV \\ & m(\tilde{x}_1^0) \!=\! O GeV \\ & tan\beta \! >\! z0 \\ & m(\tilde{x}_1^0) \!>\! s0 GeV \\ & m(\tilde{x}_1^0) \!>\! s0 GeV \\ & m(\tilde{x}_1^0) \!>\! s0 GeV \\ & m(\tilde{x}_1^0) \!=\! s0 GeV \\ & m(\tilde{G}) \! >\! s0 \!=\! eV \end{split}$	1405.7875 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 1308.1841 1405.7875 ATLAS-CONF-2013-062 ATLAS-CONF-2013-089 1208.4688 1407.0603 ATLAS-CONF-2014-001 ATLAS-CONF-2012-144 1211.1167 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152 ATLAS-CONF-2012-152
3 rd gen. § med.	$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{b} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \bar{g} \rightarrow t \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow b \bar{t} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{1} \end{array}$	0 0 0-1 <i>e</i> ,μ 0-1 <i>e</i> ,μ	3 <i>b</i> 7-10 jets 3 <i>b</i> 3 <i>b</i>	Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1	ğ 1.25 TeV ğ 1.1 TeV ğ 1.34 TeV ğ 1.3 TeV	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}400~GeV \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}350~GeV \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}400~GeV \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}300~GeV \end{array}$	1407.0600 1308.1841 1407.0600 1407.0600
3 rd gen. squarks direct production	$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1 , \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1 , \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^1 \\ \tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1 , \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \\ \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_1 (\text{light}), \tilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{k}_1^+ \\ \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_1 (\text{light}), \tilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{k}_1^+ \\ \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_1 (\text{medium}), \tilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \\ \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_1 (\text{medium}), \tilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \\ \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_1 (\text{meav}), \tilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \\ \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_1 (\text{neav}), \tilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\tau}_1^0 \\ \tilde{r}_1 \tilde{r}_1 (\text{neav}), \tilde{r}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\tau}_1 \end{pmatrix} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (\text{SS}) \\ 1-2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 0 \\ 3 \ e, \mu \ (Z) \end{array}$	2 b 0-3 b 1-2 b 0-2 jets 2 jets 2 b 1 b 2 b nono-jet/c-t 1 b 1 b	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.1 20.3 4.7 20.3 20.3 20.1 20 20.1 20.3 20.3 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{l} m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){<}90~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}2~m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}) \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}55~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}1~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}1~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}10~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}15~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}150~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}200~\text{GeV} \\ m(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}200~\text{GeV} \\ \end{array}$	1308.2631 1404.2500 1208.4305, 1209.2102 1403.4853 1403.4853 1308.2631 1407.0583 1406.1122 1407.0608 1403.5222 1403.5222
EV direct	$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{\ell}_{1,\mathbf{R}}\tilde{\ell}_{1,\mathbf{R}},\tilde{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{-},\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}\nu(\ell\tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{-},\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}\nu(\tau\tilde{\nu}) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{1}\nu\tilde{\ell}_{L}\ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu), \ell\tilde{\nu}\tilde{\ell}_{L}\ell(\tilde{\nu}\nu) \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}Z\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}h\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0} \rightarrow W\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}h\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{\dagger}\tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_{R}\ell \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ e, \mu \\ 2 \ \tau \\ 3 \ e, \mu \\ 2 - 3 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu \\ 4 \ e, \mu \end{array}$	0 0 - 0 2 <i>b</i> 0	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0 \ \text{GeV} \\ \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0 \ \text{GeV}, \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{\pm}){+}\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \\ \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0 \ \text{GeV}, \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\tau},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{\pm}){+}\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \\ \mathfrak{n}(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}), \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0, \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{\pm}){+}\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \\ \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{\pm}){=}\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}), \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0, \text{sleptons decoupled} \\ \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{\pm}){=}\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}), \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0, \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}){+}\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \\ \mathfrak{n}(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}), \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0}){=}0, \mathfrak{m}(\tilde{\ell},\tilde{\nu}){=}0.5(\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{2}^{0}){+}\mathfrak{m}(\tilde{k}_{1}^{0})) \end{array}$	1403.5294 1403.5294 1407.0350 1402.7029 1403.5294, 1402.7029 ATLAS-CONF-2013-093 1405.5086
Long-lived particles	Direct $\tilde{X}_{1}^{\dagger} \tilde{X}_{1}^{-}$ prod., long-lived \tilde{X}_{1}^{\dagger} Stable, stopped \tilde{g} R-hadron GMSB, stable $\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{X}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{e}, \tilde{\mu}) + \tau(e,$ GMSB, $\tilde{X}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \tilde{q}$, long $\tilde{X}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow \tilde{q} \tilde{q},$ $\tilde{q} \tilde{q}, \tilde{X}_{1}^{0} \rightarrow q q \mu$ (RPV)	Disapp. trk 0 μ) 1-2 μ 2 γ 1 μ , displ. vtx	1 jet 1-5 jets - -	Yes Yes - Yes -	20.3 27.9 15.9 4.7 20.3	$\tilde{\chi}_1^*$ 270 GeV \tilde{g} 832 GeV $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 475 GeV $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ 230 GeV \tilde{q} 1.0 TeV	$\begin{split} &m(\tilde{k}_1^+) \cdot m(\tilde{k}_1^0) \!=\! 160 \; MeV, \; \tau(\tilde{k}_1^+) \!=\! 0.2 \; ns \\ &m(\tilde{k}_1^0) \!=\! 100 \; GeV, \; 10 \; \mu s \! < \! \tau(\tilde{g}) \! <\! 1000 \; s \\ &10 \! <\! an\beta \! <\! s \\ &0.4 \! <\! \tau(\tilde{k}_1^0) \! <\! s \; ns \\ &1.5 \; <\! cr \! <\! cr \! <\! s \! s \\ &1.5 \; <\! cr \! <\! s \! s \! s \\ &IS \; cr \! s \! s \end{split}$	ATLAS-CONF-2013-069 1310.6584 ATLAS-CONF-2013-058 1304.6310 ATLAS-CONF-2013-092
RPV	$ \begin{array}{l} LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e + \mu \\ LFV pp \rightarrow \tilde{v}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{v}_{\tau} \rightarrow e(\mu) + \tau \\ Bilinear \ RPV \ CMSSM \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow ee\tilde{\nu}_{\mu}, e\mu \tilde{\nu}_e \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^-, \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \rightarrow W \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow \tau \tau \tilde{\nu}_e, e\tau \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \\ \tilde{g} \rightarrow \tilde{q}_1 t, \tilde{\chi}_1 \rightarrow bs \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \ e, \mu \\ 1 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (SS) \\ 4 \ e, \mu \\ 3 \ e, \mu + \tau \\ 0 \\ 2 \ e, \mu \ (SS) \end{array}$	- 0-3 <i>b</i> - - 6-7 jets 0-3 <i>b</i>	- Yes Yes Yes - Yes	4.6 4.6 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3	\tilde{y}_r 1.61 TeV \tilde{y}_r 1.1 TeV \tilde{q}, \tilde{g} 1.35 TeV $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 750 GeV $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ 450 GeV \tilde{g} 916 GeV \tilde{g} 850 GeV	$\begin{array}{l} \lambda_{311}'=0.10, \ \lambda_{132}=0.05\\ \lambda_{311}'=0.10, \ \lambda_{1(2)33}=0.05\\ m(\vec{a})=m(\vec{a}), \ c\tau_{LSP}<1 \ mm\\ m(\vec{k}_1^0)>0.2\times m(\vec{k}_1^+), \ \lambda_{121}\neq 0\\ m(\vec{k}_1^0)>0.2\times m(\vec{k}_1^+), \ \lambda_{133}\neq 0\\ BR(t)=BR(b)=BR(c)=0\% \end{array}$	1212.1272 1212.1272 1404.2500 1405.5086 1405.5086 ATLAS-CONF-2013-091 1404.250
Other	Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow q\bar{q}$ Scalar gluon pair, sgluon $\rightarrow t\bar{t}$ WIMP interaction (D5, Dirac χ)	$\frac{0}{2 e, \mu (SS)}$	4 jets 2 b mono-jet	- Yes Yes 8 TeV	4.6 14.3 10.5	sgluon 100-287 GeV sgluon 350-800 GeV M* scale 704 GeV	incl. limit from 1110.2693 $m(\chi){<}80\text{GeV}, \text{ limit of}{<}687\text{GeV} \text{ for D8}$	1210.4826 ATLAS-CONF-2013-051 ATLAS-CONF-2012-147
						10^{-1} 1	Mass seels [To\/]	

Mass scale [TeV]

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\sqrt{s} = 7.8 \text{ TeV}$

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. All limits quoted are observed minus 1 σ theoretical signal cross section uncertainty.

CMS Exotic Searches



CMS Exotica Physics Group Summary – ICHEP, 2014

CEPC + SppC

Circular e⁺e⁻ Collider: $E_{cm} \approx 240 \text{GeV}$, L $\sim 2 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

- 2x10⁵ Higgs, 10¹¹ Z per year
- Use Higgs particle as discovery tool \rightarrow precision measurement

pp collider: $E_{cm} \approx 50-100$ TeV; ep option

Potential for discovery



Super proton-proton Collider

New particle discovery machine, much higher production cross section for the new particles beyond the SM



One Of The Candidate Site: Qing Huang Dao



World Wide Effort



Future Circular Collider Study **Kick-off Meeting**

12-15 February 2014 University of Geneva Switzerland



Michael Benedikt (CERN) Marica Biagini (INFN-LNF) Alsin Blodel (U. of Geneva) Alex Cheo (SLAC Akic Chao (SLAC) Swapan Chatteorthy (Sciencent Inst.) Weron Chou (Fermilab, Co Chair Je Gao (IHEP) Stuart Herodersen (Fermilab) Harderse Hatton (JLab) Bugene Levicker (BINP) Xanoba Leu (IHEP) Katisanabu Oolde (HER, Qing Qin (IHEP, Co Chair) Dave Rice (Cornel LI) Jonn Seemun (SLAC) Cheastiang Tong (Tangheu L) Jong Wenninger (CERN) Frank Zixmermans (CERN)

Hulping Geng (IHEP Yinghua Jia (IHEP Shuzhen Liu (IHEP Gian Pen (IHE ou Xu (IHEP, Cha Shan Zeng (IHEF Ning Zhao (IHEF



55th ICFA Advanced Beam Dynamics Workshop on High Luminosity Circular e⁺e⁻Colliders - Higgs Factory





Parameters Optics Interaction region and machine-detector interface

Topics

Synchrotron radiation and shielding Superconducting RF Injectors and injection Orbit stability and beam instability Polarization Instrumentation and control "Green" Higgs factory

October 9-12, 2014 Hotel Wanda Realm Beijing, China







LOCAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE FCC Coordination Group A. Ball, M. Benedikt, A. Blondel,

F. Bordry, L. Bottura, O. Brüning, P. Collier, J. Ellis, F. Gianotti, B. Goddard, P. Janot, E. Jensen, J. M. Jimenez, M. Klein, P. Lebrun, M. Mangano, D. Schulte, F. Sonnemann, L. Tavian, J. Wenninger, F. Zimmermann

http://indico.cern.ch/ e/fcc-kickoff

SLAC 100 TeV Workshop

Ideal Timeline

- CEPC (2021 2035)
 - 2015 2020: Feasibility, R&D and design
 - 2021 2027: Construction
 - 2028 2035: Commissioning
- SppC (2035 2055)
 - 2014 2030: Feasibility + R&D
 - 2030 2035: Design
 - 2035 2042: Construction
 - 2042 2055: Commissioning

Too aggressive to believe?

High Intensity Electron Positron Accelerator (HIEPA)

Collaborative Innovation Center for Particle Physics and Interaction

University of Science and Technology of China Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS Institute of Theoretical Physics, CAS Tsinghua University University of Chinese Academy of Sciences Shangdong University Shanghai Jiaotong University Peking University Nanjing University Nankai University Wuhan University Hua Zhong Normal University

What Is HIEPA ?

- Providing peak luminosity about 1x10³⁵ cm⁻²s⁻¹ at 4 GeV for physics at tau charm sector, covering E_{cm} = 2-7 GeV.
- Being a 3rd/4th generation SRF (synchrotron radiation facility).
- Reserving the potential for FEL(free electron laser) study with the long LINAC.

HIEPA Machine Layout



Physics at τ -c Energy Region



- Nucleon form factors
- Y(2175) resonance
- Mutltiquark states with s quark, Zs
- MLLA/LPHD and QCD sum rule predictions

- Light hadron spectroscopy
- Gluonic and exotic states
- Process of LFV and CPV
 e.g. τ→μγ
- Rare and forbidden decays
- Physics with τ lepton

- XYZ particles
- Physics with D mesons
- f_D and f_{Ds}
- D₀-D
 ₀ mixing
- Charm baryons

- **R** scan
- Precision $\Delta \alpha_{QED}$, a_{μ} , charm quark mass extraction.
- Hadron form factor(nucleon, Λ , π).

Key science question: is there any new forms of hadron exist?



- Exotic hadrons: made of quarks and possibly gluon, but do not have the same quark content as ordinary hadrons. They are not predicted by the simple quark model.
- After several decades' effort, XYZ particles, such as X(3872), Y(4260) and Zc(3900) discovered by Belle, Babar and BESIII experiments.
- To reach conclusive evidence of an exotic hadron, an e⁺e⁻ collider in the τ-c sector, which is able to provide much higher statistical data and cover broader energy range is essential.

Z_c(3900) Observed at BESIIII and Belle



Nucleon Electromagnetic Form Factors (NEFFs)

- Key science question: why do quarks forms colourless hadrons with only two stable configurations, proton and neutron?
- NEFFs are among the most basic observables of the nucleon, and intimately related to its internal structure.
- Nucleons are the building blocks of almost all-ordinary matter in the universe. The challenge of understanding the nucleon's structure and dynamics has occupied a central place in particle physics.
- The fundamental understanding of the NEFFs and HEFF (hadron form factor) in terms of QCD is one of the outstanding problems in particle physics.

Nucleon Electromagnetic Form Factors(NEFFs)



Measurement of Proton FFs at HIEPA

Example @ 2.23 GeV

Nsig	$\delta R_{EM}/R_{EM}$	δσ/σ	Luminosity (pb ⁻¹)	Comment
3881±62	9.5%	1.6%	16.630	BESIII expected
156253 ± 395	1.5%	0.25%	669.533	HIEPA reach 1
389898±624	0.96%	0.16%	1670.69	HIEPA reach 2



New Physics

- The discovery of the Higgs particle completes the list of the particles in the SM.
- Physics beyond the SM due to phenomena that cannot be explained within the SM framework:
 - SM does not explain gravity
 - SM does not supply any fundamental particles that are good dark matter candidates, nor be able to explain dark energy
 - No mechanism in the SM sufficient to explain asymmetry of matter and anti-matter.
- No evidence of new physics been found at high energy frontier, it is important to search for new physics both directly and indirectly in the precision frontier.

Lepton Flavour Violating (LFV)

CLFV processes sensitive to New Physics (NP)

through lepton-lepton coupling $y_{ij}\bar{\ell}_i F^{\mu\nu}\ell_j\sigma_{\mu\nu}$



HIEPA Timeline



China Jinping Underground Laboratory



Yunnan Province

2400 m overburden of marble The deepest in the world



- PandaX = Particle AND Astrophysical Xenon Detector
- Objective: using dual-phase XENON technology to perform direct search for dark matter and neutrinoless double beta decay of ¹³⁶Xe



Mar 2014: started physics run (125 kg active target)

CDEX

- **<u>CDEX-1</u>**: Development of HPGe detector.
- <u>CDEX-10</u>: HPGe array detector system and its passive/active shielding systems.
- <u>CDEX-10X</u>: Fabrication of HPGe detector and Germanium crystal growth by our group.
- **<u>CDEX-1T</u>**: Multi-purpose experiment for dark





CDEX-10

CDEX-1 Physical Results





- ✓ 10 times improved sensitivity!
- The best sensitivity by PCGe!
- Excludes the region favored by CoGeNT with same technology!

DArk Matter Particle Explore (DAMPE)



- 4 sub-detectors to measure e^{+/-}, γ and ion
- Energy: 5GeV~10TeV
- Resolution: 1.5%@800GeV
- p, e separation: < 1%



- Altitude 500 km
- Inclination 97.4065°
- Period 90 minutes
- Sun-synchronous orbit

Detector and Collaboration



Timeline

- Dec. 2011, approved for construction;
- Oct. 2012, prototype beam test;
- Currently: various tests for engineering model (thermal, vacuum, magnetic, gravity, beam...); flight model under construction;
- Scheduled launch: 2015.



Prototype beam test



Engineering model magnetic test

Main Array: 6300 scintillator detectors every 15 m Water Cherenkov & Detector

90,000 m²

1220 µ-detectors every 30 m

LHAASO

Central Array 24 Wide field View Cherenkov telescopes: precision measurement of CR spectrum 542 burst detectors: identification of primary CR species

Prospects and Status

- LHAASO observatory
 - Unique at 10 TeV γ monitoring with highest sensitivity
 - Window for discovering the hadronic origins of cosmic rays
 - Provides crucial CR data in the region of knees
- Agreement with Sichuan province for site is scheduled to be signed next month. This will pave the road to start the construction of LHAASO next year





JUNO

NPP	Daya Bay	Huizhou	Lufeng	Yangjiang	Taishan
Status	Operational	Planned	Planned	Under construction	Under construction
Power	17.4 GW	17.4 GW	17.4 GW	17.4 GW	18.4 GW
Overbu	urden ~ 700	m		by	y 2020: 26.6 GW
				Previous site candidate	
Kaiping,	• Zhaoqing	Guang Zhou	u Dongguan CNS		
Guang M Guangd	en city, ong	2.5 h drive	Shen Zhen	Huizhou NPP	Lufeng NPP
Provinc	e	Jian • Zhongshan Zhujiang River Estua	i ♠Hong	Daya Bay ^{Ko} NPP	
	SAL	A Mac	Hong Ko	ong	
	53 km	Mac	au	Cores YJ-C1 YJ-	-C2 YJ-C3 YJ-C4 YJ-C5 YJ-C6

53 km

Yangjiang NPP

Taishan NPP

Cores	YJ-C1	YJ-C2	YJ-C3	YJ-C4	YJ-C5	YJ-C6
Power (GW)	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9
Baseline (km)	52.75	52.84	52.42	52.51	52.12	52.21
Cores	TS-C1	TS-C2	TS-C3	TS-C4	DYB	ΗZ
Power (GW)	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	17.4	17.4
Baseline (km)	52.76	52.63	52.32	52.20	215	265

JUNO Detector



	KamLAND	BOREXINO	JUNO
LS mass	1 kt 0.5 kt		20 kt
Energy Resolution	6%/ 5%/		3%/
Light yield	250 p.e./MeV	511 p.e./MeV	1200 p.e./MeV

Summary

- China is at a critical time to define the future projects for particle physics.
- HEPAC is helping lay the roadmap for particle physics of China. The projects with accelerator for high energy physics under discussion are

CEPC+SppC, Z Factory, HIEPA and **EIC** (bring high energy and nuclear physics together)

- CJPL has the potential to be built to a world first class deep underground lab for tackling the key science question of our century.
- Particle/nuclear physics are global science, our opinion should be globalized when planning our future projects.

My Comments to CEPC+SppC

A Higgs factory (e^+e^- collider) and a super hadron collider (~ 100 TeV pp, ep, eA) will be the project of the high energy physics of the world \rightarrow Global big science

- Probing the key science questions
- World wide advanced technology
- Center of the high energy physics of the world

I believe China dream will become true, hope that CEPE+SppC dream could be part of the China dream.

Big questions: are we ready for the projects? → Expertise, key technologies, education system, sustainable financial support to high energy physics community.....

Facilities for Particle Physics in China



Heavy Ion Accelerator Facility (HIAF)

中科院: 詹文龙

Oct. 18. 2013





A. Pich Status & Outlook

- The SM appears to be the right theory at the EW scale
- The H(125) behaves as the SM scalar boson
- The CKM mechanism works very well
- Neutrinos do have (tiny) masses. Lepton flavour is violated
- Different flavour structure for quarks & leptons
- New physics needed to explain many pending questions: Flavour, CP, baryogenesis, dark matter, cosmology...



- How far is the Scale of New-Physics Λ_{NP} ?
- Which symmetry keeps M_H away from Λ_{NP}?
 Supersymmetry, scale/conformal symmetry...
- Which kind of New Physics?

Conclusions

" Where is everybody? What is the scale of new physics?"

Proton decay: >10¹⁵ GeV Flavor violations: >10⁸ GeV CP violation (EDMs): 10⁴ GeV

New physics should be around the TeV scale to stabilize the Higgs potential (aka hierarchy problem). That makes the Higgs a very special character

Precision Higgs physics is on the HEP agenda for the next 2-3 decades - for a deep understanding of the SM - for an accurate comparison with experiments - for an access to BSM

Christophe Grojean

Higgs Physics - Theory

30

Open Question About Higgs

ls it

- the SM Higgs?
- an elementary/composite particle?
- unique/solitary?
- eternal/temporary?
- natural?
- the first supersymmetric particle ever observed?
- really "responsible" for the masses of all the elementary particles?
- mainly produced by top quarks or by new heavy vector-like quarks?
- a portal to a hidden world?
- at the origin of the matter-antimatter asymmetry?
- Has it driven the inflationary expansion of the Universe?

Motivation



CDEX target:

Direct detection of low mass cold dark matter with ton-scale PCGe array with ultra-low energy threshold (<300eVee).



Forthcoming Discoveries in Particle Physics

Торіс	Crucial measurement	Significance	
WIMP	Existence	Dark Mater	
Higgs boson	M ~125 GeV	Confirm spontaneous symmetry breaking in gauge theory	
Super-symmetric particles	Existence, M > 1 TeV	Hope of understanding gravity	
Technicolour particles	Existence, M > TeV?	Dynamic symmetry breaking, Composite Higgs	
Gravitational waves (Gravitons)	Existence Support general relat		
Magnetic monopole	Existence, mass, electric charge	Electric and magnetic charge symmetry predicted by Dirac. Structure of gauge field configuration	
Free quarks	Existence, fractional charge	Would confuse all current prejudice	
Neutrino mass and oscillation	M < 1 eV	Structure of GUTs. Eventual fate of the universe	
Exotic hadron Glueball	M _g = 1-2 GeV, M _{exotic, c} ~4 GeV Existence	Understand QCD	

Features of the τ -c Energy Region

- Rich of resonances, charmonium and charmed mesons.
- Threshold characteristics (pairs of τ, D, D_s, charmed baryons...).
- Transition between smooth and resonances, perturbative and non-perturbative QCD.
- Mass location of the exotic hadrons, gluonic matter and hybrid.



H(125): Favor SM Scalar Boson



Nucleon Electromagnetic Form Factors(NEFFs)

Spatial distributions of electric charge and current inside the nucleon



Pauli

Vector current, two form factors (F_1 and F_2) $\Gamma_{\mu} = e\bar{u}(p')[F_1(q^2)\gamma_{\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{2M_N}F_2(q^2)i\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^{\nu}]u(p)e^{iqx}$

> $F_1^p(q^2 = 0) = 1 \qquad F_2^p(q^2) = 1$ $F_1^n(q^2 = 0) = 0 \qquad F_2^n(q^2) = 1$

Dirac

Sachs

$$G_E = F_1 + \frac{\kappa q^2}{4M^2} F_2 \qquad G_M = F_1 + \kappa F_2 \qquad G_E(4M_p^2) = G_M(4M_p^2) \qquad 46$$

The Measurement of Proton FF(Space-like)

There have been many measurements of the proton form factors in the space-like region. At Jlab, the proton factor ratio was measured precisely with an uncertainty of ~1%, based on which the proton electronic and magnetic radii could be extracted.



Proton Form Factor: IG_EI/IG_MI



space-like and time-like measurements!

Motivation



Exotic Hadrons

(possible combination of quark and glue)



$\tau \rightarrow \mu \gamma$

- The process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-\gamma$, dominant background source at Y(4S), does not contribute below 2E $\approx 4m_{\tau}/\sqrt{3} \approx 4.1$ GeV.
- The favorable kinematical condition and the use of polarization can allow an UL(STCF in 1-2 years) ≤ UL(SuperBelle@Y in 12-15 yrs).



DArk Matter Particle Explorer (DAMPE)