Covariant quark-diquark model for the $N \rightarrow N^*$ electromagnetic transitions

Gilberto Ramalho

CFTP, Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon

In collaboration with F. Gross, M.T. Peña and K. Tsushima

Nucleon Resonance Structure in Exclusive Electroproduction at High Photon Virtualities with the CLAS 12 Detector Workshop Jlab, Newport News, VA, USA May 16, 2011





- Quark current
- Baryon wave functions
- Transition current

3 Results

- Spin 1/2 resonances
 N(939), N(1440), N(1535)
- Spin 3/2 resonances
 Δ(1232), Δ(1600)

4 Conclusions

Nucleon Resonance Structure



Study the N^* electroproduction

- (Constituent) Quark Models ... 🗸
- Coupled-channels reaction models (Dynamical models) baryon bare core structure (input) with meson dressing (meson-baryon interaction) [EBAC, Sato-Lee, Mainz (DMT), Julich, Bonn, ...]
- χ -Perturbation Theory, χ EFT X Baryons and pions as d.o.f. - low Q^2 regime [Pascalutsa, Vanderhaghen, Gail, Hermert, ...]
- pQCD ... very high Q^2 \times
- Hybrid models (CBM, soliton, ...) $\sqrt{}$

• Challenge:

Understand the electromagnetic structure of the Nucleon and Nucleon resonances

• Challenge:

Understand the electromagnetic structure of the Nucleon and Nucleon resonances

• Low
$$Q^2$$
 [$Q^2 \lesssim 2 \ {\rm GeV}^2$]

• High Q^2 [$Q^2 \simeq 2 - 10 \text{ GeV}^2$] (not in pQCD regime)

• Challenge:

Understand the electromagnetic structure of the Nucleon and Nucleon resonances

• Low
$$Q^2$$
 [$Q^2 \lesssim 2 \text{ GeV}^2$]
• High Q^2 [$Q^2 \simeq 2 - 10 \text{ GeV}^2$] (not in pQCD regime)

• What do we know ?

• Challenge:

Understand the electromagnetic structure of the Nucleon and Nucleon resonances

- Low Q^2 [$Q^2 \lesssim 2 \text{ GeV}^2$]
- High Q^2 [$Q^2 \simeq 2 10 \text{ GeV}^2$] (not in pQCD regime)
- What do we know ?
 - Theory:

Nucleon resonances (baryons): internal structure ruled by QCD Internal degrees of freedom: (light) quarks and gluons

• Challenge:

Understand the electromagnetic structure of the Nucleon and Nucleon resonances

- Low Q^2 [$Q^2 \lesssim 2 \text{ GeV}^2$]
- High Q^2 [$Q^2 \simeq 2 10 \text{ GeV}^2$] (not in pQCD regime)
- What do we know ?
 - Theory:

Nucleon resonances (baryons): internal structure ruled by QCD Internal degrees of freedom: (light) quarks and gluons

• Experiments:

We detect baryons and mesons

possible decays: πN , ηN , ρN , $\pi \Delta$, ...

Effective degrees of freedom: mesons \oplus resonant core (N^*)

Covariant Spectator Quark Model[©]- Franz Gross (CST)

• Why a quark model ?

Covariant Spectator Quark Model[©]- Franz Gross (CST)

- Why a quark model ?
 - N^* as a qqq system

Simple way of describing N^* quantum numbers: charge, spin, flavor, parity, decays, ...

- Why a quark model ?
 - N* as a qqq system
 Simple way of describing N* quantum numbers: charge, spin, flavor, parity, decays, ...
 - Falloff of meson cloud ($q\bar{q}$ states configuration) high Q^2

- Why a quark model ?
 - N* as a qqq system
 Simple way of describing N* quantum numbers: charge, spin, flavor, parity, decays, ...
 - Falloff of meson cloud ($q\bar{q}$ states configuration) high Q^2
- Why covariant ?

- Why a quark model ?
 - N* as a qqq system
 Simple way of describing N* quantum numbers: charge, spin, flavor, parity, decays, ...
 - Falloff of meson cloud ($q\bar{q}$ states configuration) high Q^2

• Why covariant ?

• Relativity fundamental at high $Q^2\,$

- Why a quark model ?
 - N* as a qqq system
 Simple way of describing N* quantum numbers: charge, spin, flavor, parity, decays, ...
 - Falloff of meson cloud ($q\bar{q}$ states configuration) high Q^2
- Why covariant ?
 - Relativity fundamental at high $Q^2\,$
- Ingredients:

- Why a quark model ?
 - N* as a qqq system
 Simple way of describing N* quantum numbers: charge, spin, flavor, parity, decays, ...
 - Falloff of meson cloud ($q\bar{q}$ states configuration) high Q^2
- Why covariant ?
 - Relativity fundamental at high $Q^2\,$
- Ingredients:
 - Wave functions (qqq)

- Why a quark model ?
 - N* as a qqq system
 Simple way of describing N* quantum numbers: charge, spin, flavor, parity, decays, ...
 - Falloff of meson cloud ($q\bar{q}$ states configuration) high Q^2
- Why covariant ?
 - Relativity fundamental at high $Q^2\,$
- Ingredients:
 - Wave functions (qqq)
 - Quark current [constituent quarks e.m. form factors]: [dressing by gluons interactions and some quark-antiquark states]

Program to study $\gamma N \rightarrow N^*$ reactions

Goal:

Study Valence Quark content of N^* structure

 $N^* = N(939), N(1440), N(1535), \Delta(1232), \Delta(1600), \ldots$

Program to study $\gamma N \to N^*$ reactions

Goal:

Study Valence Quark content of N^* structure

 $N^* = N(939), N(1440), N(1535), \Delta(1232), \Delta(1600), \dots$

• Input:

- Nucleon elastic form factor data
- Lattice QCD data ($N^* = N, \Delta, ...$)
- Dynamical Model information (valence form factors)
 - \Rightarrow Callibration of the model [Quark current & wave functions]

Program to study $\gamma N \to N^*$ reactions

Goal:

Study Valence Quark content of N^* structure

 $N^* = N(939), N(1440), N(1535), \Delta(1232), \Delta(1600), \dots$

• Input:

- Nucleon elastic form factor data
- Lattice QCD data ($N^* = N, \Delta, ...$)
- Dynamical Model information (valence form factors)
 - \Rightarrow Callibration of the model [Quark current & wave functions]
- Output:
 - \bullet Valence quark contributions for the $\gamma N \to N^*$ form factors Dominant at high Q^2

Goal:

Study Valence Quark content of N^* structure

 $N^* = N(939), N(1440), N(1535), \Delta(1232), \Delta(1600), \dots$

• Input:

- Nucleon elastic form factor data
- Lattice QCD data ($N^* = N, \Delta, ...$)
- Dynamical Model information (valence form factors)
 - \Rightarrow Callibration of the model [Quark current & wave functions]

• Output:

- Valence quark contributions for the $\gamma N \to N^*$ form factors Dominant at high Q^2
- Using complementar information: estimate of meson cloud [Low Q^2 data; large- N_c relations for meson cloud, ...]

Quark structure and electromagnetic interaction (I)



Quark structure and electromagnetic interaction (II)



Quark structure and electromagnetic interaction (II)



• Not important at high Q^2 [pQCD: supression $1/Q^4$]

Quark structure and electromagnetic interaction (II)



- Not important at high Q^2 [pQCD: supression $1/Q^4$]
- Assume NO interference with quark dressing processes

$$G_X = G_X^B + G_X^{mc}$$

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

- Baryon: 3 constituent quark system
- Covariant Spectator Theory^C: wave function Ψ defined in terms of a 3-quark vertex Γ with 2 on-mass-shell quarks

$$\Psi_{\alpha}(P,k_3) = \left(\frac{1}{m_q - k_3 - i\varepsilon}\right)_{\alpha\beta} \Gamma^{\beta}(P,k_1,k_2)$$

 Confinement insures that vertex Γ vanishes when the 3 quarks are on-shell [Γ cancels the quark propagator singularity]



Stadler, Gross and Frank PRC 56, 2396 (1998); Savkli and Gross PRC 63, 035208 (2001)

• Ψ free of singularities

Instead of modulate $\Gamma \Rightarrow$ modulate directly Ψ

• Integrating over the on-mass-shell quark momenta: $k = k_1 + k_2$, $r = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)$; reduce current integrals to the integration in **k** and $s = (k_1 + k_2)^2$ Gross and Agbakpe, PRC 73, 015203 (2006); PRC 77, 015202 (2008):

$$\int \frac{d^3k_1}{2E_{k_1}} \int \frac{d^3k_2}{2E_{k_2}} = \frac{\pi}{4} \int d\Omega_{\hat{\mathbf{r}}} \int_{4m_q^2}^{+\infty} ds \sqrt{\frac{s - 4m_q^2}{s}} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2E_k}$$

with $E_k = \sqrt{s + \mathbf{k}^2}$ as the energy of the diquark.

• Mean value theorem: average in diquark mass $\sqrt{s}
ightarrow m_D$

$$\int \frac{d^3k_1}{2E_{k_1}} \int \frac{d^3k_2}{2E_{k_2}} \to \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2\sqrt{m_D^2 + \mathbf{k}^2}}$$

 $m_D = eff. mass; covariant integration in diquark$ **on-shell**momentum

Baryon wave functions: B = diquark ⊕ quark
 Combination of diquark (12) and single quark (3) states, using SU(6) ⊗ O(3):

$$\begin{split} \Psi_B = & \sum \quad (\mathsf{flavor}) \otimes (\mathsf{spin}) \\ & \otimes (\mathsf{orbital}) \otimes \underbrace{\psi_B(P,k)}_{\mathsf{radial}} \end{split}$$



Baryon wave functions: B = diquark ⊕ quark
 Combination of diquark (12) and single quark (3) states, using SU(6) ⊗ O(3):



• Ψ_B in rest frame using quark states

Baryon wave functions: B = diquark ⊕ quark
 Combination of diquark (12) and single quark (3) states, using SU(6) ⊗ O(3):



- Ψ_B in rest frame using quark states
- Covariant generalization of Ψ_B in terms baryon properties

Baryon wave functions: B = diquark ⊕ quark
 Combination of diquark (12) and single quark (3) states, using SU(6) ⊗ O(3):



- Ψ_B in rest frame using quark states
- Covariant generalization of Ψ_B in terms baryon properties
- Ψ_B can be used on **any** frame and/or Q^2 regime

Spectator QM: Photon-Quark coupling

• Quark current
$$[f_{i\pm}$$
 quark form factors]
 $j_I^{\mu} = \left[\frac{1}{6}f_{1+} + \frac{1}{2}f_{1-}\tau_3\right]\left(\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\not{q}q^{\mu}}{q^2}\right) + \left[\frac{1}{6}f_{2+} + \frac{1}{2}f_{2-}\tau_3\right]\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2M_N}$



Quarks with anomalous magnetic moments κ_u, κ_d fixed by nucleon magnetic moments: μ_p, μ_n

Spectator QM: Photon-Quark coupling

• Quark current
$$[f_{i\pm}$$
 quark form factors]
 $j_I^{\mu} = \left[\frac{1}{6}f_{1+} + \frac{1}{2}f_{1-}\tau_3\right]\left(\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\not{q}q^{\mu}}{q^2}\right) + \left[\frac{1}{6}f_{2+} + \frac{1}{2}f_{2-}\tau_3\right]\frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2M_N}$



Quarks with anomalous magnetic moments κ_u, κ_d fixed by nucleon magnetic moments: μ_p, μ_n

• Vector meson dominance parameterization:



2 poles:

- Light vector meson: $m_v = m_\rho$
- Effective heavy meson: M_h (=2 M_N):
- 4 adjustable coefficients ← Nucleon data

Spectator QM: Transition currents $(\gamma N \rightarrow N^*)$

Quark current $j_I^{\mu} \oplus$ Baryon wave function $\Psi_B \Rightarrow J^{\mu}$

Transition current J^{μ} in spectator formalism Franz Gross et al PR 186 (1969); PRC 45, 2094 (1992)

Relativistic impulse approximation:

$$J^{\mu} = 3\sum_{\lambda} \int_{k} \bar{\Psi}_{f}(P_{+},k) j_{I}^{\mu} \Psi_{i}(P_{-},k) \xrightarrow{P_{+}} \underbrace{\Psi_{f}}_{N^{*}} \underbrace{\Psi_{i}}_{k} \underbrace{\Psi_{i}}_{N} \xrightarrow{P_{-}} \underbrace{\mathsf{diguark on-shell}}_{k}$$

 $q = P_{+} - P_{-}, \quad P = \frac{1}{2}(P_{+} + P_{-}), \qquad Q^{2} = -q^{2}$

Spin 1/2 resonances: transition currents

Nucleon:

$$J^{\mu} = \overline{u}(P_{+}) \left[F_1 \gamma^{\mu} + F_2 \frac{i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{2M_N} \right] u(P_{-})$$

 $\gamma N \rightarrow N(1440)$ (R):

$$J^{\mu} = \bar{u}_{R}(P_{+}) \left[F_{1}^{*} \left(\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\not q q^{\mu}}{q^{2}} \right) + F_{2}^{*} \frac{i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{M_{R} + M_{N}} \right] u(P_{-})$$

 $\gamma N \rightarrow N(1535)$ (S):

$$J^{\mu} = \bar{u}_{S}(P_{+}) \left[F_{1}^{*} \left(\gamma^{\mu} - \frac{\not q q^{\mu}}{q^{2}} \right) + F_{2}^{*} \frac{i \sigma^{\mu\nu} q_{\nu}}{M_{S} + M_{N}} \right] \gamma_{5} u(P_{-})$$

Form factors - exclusive functions of Q^2
Spin 1/2 resonances: wave functions

Nucleon: S-state approximation (quark-diquark) $\Psi_N(P,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\Phi_I^0 \Phi_S^0 + \Phi_I^1 \Phi_S^1 \right] \psi_N(P,k)$

$$N(1440)$$
:

$$\Psi_R(P,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\Phi_I^0 \Phi_S^0 + \Phi_I^1 \Phi_S^1 \right] \psi_R(P,k)$$

N(1535):

$$\Psi_{S11}(P,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\Phi_I^0 \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \Phi_I^1 \boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \right] \psi_{S11}(P,k)$$

 $\Phi_I^{0,1}$: isospin states; $\Phi_S^{0,1}, X_{\rho}, X_{\lambda}$: spin states

Scalar wave function: Nucleon

Scalar wave functions dependent of $(P - k)^2 = (quark momentum)^2$

$$\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle B} = \frac{(M_B - m_D)^2 - (P - k)^2}{M_B m_D} \xrightarrow{NR} \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m_D^2}$$

 $M_B = baryon mass; m_D = diquark mass$

Nucleon scalar wave function:

$$\begin{split} \psi_N(P,k) &= \frac{N_0}{m_D} \frac{1}{(\beta_1 + \chi_N)(\beta_2 + \chi_N)} = \frac{N_0}{m_D} \frac{1}{\beta_2 - \beta_1} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_1 + \chi_N} - \frac{1}{\beta_2 + \chi_N} \right] \\ & \xrightarrow{NR} \quad \frac{N_0}{m_D} \frac{1}{\beta_2 - \beta_1} \left[\frac{1}{\beta_1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m_D^2}} - \frac{1}{\beta_2 + \frac{\mathbf{k}^2}{m_D^2}} \right] \end{split}$$

Position space:

$$\begin{split} \psi_N(P,k) & \xrightarrow{FT} & \frac{e^{-m_D\sqrt{\beta_1}r}}{r} - \frac{e^{-m_D\sqrt{\beta_2}r}}{r} \\ \beta_1, \ \beta_2 \ \text{momentum range parameters;} \ \beta_2 > \beta_1; \\ \beta_1 \ \text{long spatial range;} \ \beta_2 \ \text{short spatial range} \end{split}$$

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 17 / 50

Nucleon form factors (I)

F Gross, GR and MT Peña, PRC 77, 015202 (2008) – model II Nucleon form factors: $G_E = F_1 - \tau F_2$, $G_M = F_1 + F_2$; $\tau = \frac{Q^2}{4M_N^2}$



Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

Covariant quark-diquark model

May 16, 2011 18 / 50



• Quark current fix 4 parameters; Scalar wave function [2]



Quark current fix 4 parameters; Scalar wave function [2]
No pion cloud (explicit) ... but VMD



- Quark current fix 4 parameters; Scalar wave function [2]
- No pion cloud (explicit) ... but VMD
- How can we test the valence quark parametrizarion?

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 19 / 50



- Quark current fix 4 parameters; Scalar wave function [2]
- No pion cloud (explicit) ... but VMD
- How can we test the valence quark parametrizarion? Lattice

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 19 / 50

GR and MT Peña JPG 36, 115011 (2009)

- Quark current: $j_I^{\mu}(M_N; m_{\rho}, M_h = 2M_N) \rightarrow j_I^{\mu}(M_N^{latt}; m_{\rho}^{latt}, 2M_N^{latt})$
- Wave functions: $\Psi_B(\{M_B\}) \rightarrow \Psi_B(\{M_B^{latt}\})$
- \Rightarrow Implicit m_{π} dependence in G_X [Form factors]

 G_X include only valence quark (bare) contributions $\rightarrow G_X^B$ Meson cloud effects suppressed for large m_π : Compare G_X^B with lattice data

Nucleon form factors on lattice [JPG 36, 115011 (2009)] G_X^{p-n}



Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 21 / 50

N(1440) wave function $[N(1440) \equiv R]$ (Roper)

- N(1440) is the 1st radial excitation of the nucleon
- Same spin a isospin structure
- Ψ_R orthogonal to Ψ_N Orthogonality given by scalar wave functions

$$\int_{k} \psi_{R}(P_{+},k)\psi_{N}(P_{-},k) \bigg|_{Q^{2}=0} = 0$$

• Wave function:

excitation

$$\psi_R\left(\frac{(P-k)^2}{m_D M_R}\right) = N_1 \frac{\overleftarrow{\beta'_3 - 2(P-k)^2}}{\beta'_1 + 2\frac{(P-k)^2}{m_D M_R}} \times \psi_N\left(\frac{(P-k)^2}{m_S M_R}\right)$$

• β'_1 fixed by ψ_N ; β'_3 determined by the orthogonality condition No adjustable parameters \rightarrow predictions

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 22 / 50

$\gamma N ightarrow N(1440)$ on lattice [PRD 81, 074020 (2010)]



$\gamma N ightarrow N(1440)$ form factors [PRD 81, 074020 (2010)]



• CLAS data - Aznauryan et al PRC 80, 055203 (2009), MAID fit

- Good agreement for $Q^2 > 1.5 \ {\rm GeV}^2$
- Difference for $Q^2 < 1.5 {\rm ~GeV^2}$ –manifestation of meson cloud

$\gamma N \rightarrow N(1440)$ helicity amplitudes

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1/2}(Q^2) &= \mathcal{R}\left[F_1^*(Q^2) + F_2^*(Q^2)\right] \\ S_{1/2}(Q^2) &= \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{M_R + M}{Q^2} |\mathbf{q}| \left[F_1^*(Q^2) - \tau F_2^*(Q^2)\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi \alpha \left[(M_R - M)^2 + Q^2 \right]}{M_R M K}}, \quad K = \frac{M_R^2 - M^2}{2M_R}, \quad \tau = \frac{Q^2}{(M_R + M)^2}$$

 $|\mathbf{q}| = \mathsf{photon} \mathsf{ momentum} \mathsf{ in } \mathsf{Roper} \mathsf{ rest} \mathsf{ frame}$

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

Covariant quark-diquark model

May 16, 2011 25 / 50

$\gamma N ightarrow N(1440)$ helicity amplitudes [PRD 81, 074020 (2010)]



GR and K Tsushima PRD 81, 074020 (2010) Good description of the data ($Q^2 > 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$)

 $\rightarrow N(1535)$

N(1535) wave function $[N(1535) = S11 \simeq S]$

Approximations:

See NSTAR2011

- Pointlike diquark $k_{\rho} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(k_1 k_2) \rightarrow 0$ [no internal diquark P-states]
- Pure spin 1/2 core:

 $|N(1535)\rangle = \cos \theta_S |S = 1/2\rangle - \sin \theta_S |S = 3/2\rangle$ $\rightarrow |S = 1/2\rangle$

[Karl-Isgur model: $\cos \theta_S \approx 0.85$]

Spin states (diquark-quark system with L = 1, P = -1): $1 \oplus \frac{1}{2}$

$$X_{\rho}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}\right) \approx \sum_{m} \langle 1m; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}|\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle Y_{1m}(\hat{k}_{\lambda})|m-\frac{1}{2}\rangle_{\rho} \qquad [MA]$$

$$X_{\lambda}\left(\pm\frac{1}{2}\right) \approx \sum_{m} \langle 1m; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} | \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \rangle Y_{1m}(\hat{k}_{\lambda}) | m - \frac{1}{2} \rangle_{\lambda} \qquad [MS]$$

$$\psi_{S11}(P,k) = \frac{N_S}{m_D \left(\beta_1' + 2\frac{P \cdot k}{m_D M_S}\right) \left(\beta_2' + 2\frac{P \cdot k}{m_D M_S}\right)} \approx \psi_N(P,k)$$

No adjustable parameters \rightarrow predictions

Form factors:

$$F_1^*, F_2^* \propto \mathcal{I}_0(Q^2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{0}(Q^{2}) = \int_{k} \frac{k_{z}}{|\mathbf{k}|} \psi_{S11}(P_{S11}, k) \psi_{N}(P_{N}, k)$$
$$= \operatorname{const} \times |\mathbf{q}|_{0} \quad (Q^{2} \to 0)$$

Form factors:

$$F_1^*, F_2^* \propto \mathcal{I}_0(Q^2)$$

Overlap integral (S11 rest frame): $|\mathbf{q}|_0 = \frac{M_S^2 - M^2}{2M_S}$: photon moment

$$\mathcal{I}_{0}(Q^{2}) = \int_{k} \frac{k_{z}}{|\mathbf{k}|} \psi_{S11}(P_{S11}, k) \psi_{N}(P_{N}, k)$$
$$= \operatorname{const} \times |\mathbf{q}|_{0} \quad (Q^{2} \to 0)$$

• If $M_S = M \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) = 0 \Rightarrow N, S11$ orthogonal states

Form factors:

$$F_1^*, F_2^* \propto \mathcal{I}_0(Q^2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{0}(Q^{2}) = \int_{k} \frac{k_{z}}{|\mathbf{k}|} \psi_{S11}(P_{S11}, k) \psi_{N}(P_{N}, k)$$
$$= \operatorname{const} \times |\mathbf{q}|_{0} \quad (Q^{2} \to 0)$$

- If $M_S = M \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) = 0 \Rightarrow N, S11$ orthogonal states
- If $M_S \neq M \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ No orthogonality [Consequence of relativistic generalization (boost of a state)]

Form factors:

$$F_1^*, F_2^* \propto \mathcal{I}_0(Q^2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{0}(Q^{2}) = \int_{k} \frac{k_{z}}{|\mathbf{k}|} \psi_{S11}(P_{S11}, k) \psi_{N}(P_{N}, k)$$
$$= \operatorname{const} \times |\mathbf{q}|_{0} \quad (Q^{2} \to 0)$$

- If $M_S = M \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) = 0 \Rightarrow N, S11$ orthogonal states
- If $M_S \neq M \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ No orthogonality [Consequence of relativistic generalization (boost of a state)]
- Range of application of the model ? $(\mathcal{I}_0(0) \approx 0)$ $|\mathbf{q}|_0$ defines the momentum scale

Form factors:

$$F_1^*, F_2^* \propto \mathcal{I}_0(Q^2)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_{0}(Q^{2}) = \int_{k} \frac{k_{z}}{|\mathbf{k}|} \psi_{S11}(P_{S11}, k) \psi_{N}(P_{N}, k)$$
$$= \operatorname{const} \times |\mathbf{q}|_{0} \quad (Q^{2} \to 0)$$

- If $M_S = M \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) = 0 \Rightarrow N, S11$ orthogonal states
- If $M_S \neq M \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ No orthogonality [Consequence of relativistic generalization (boost of a state)]
- Range of application of the model ? $(\mathcal{I}_0(0) \approx 0)$ $|\mathbf{q}|_0$ defines the momentum scale
- If $Q^2 \gg |\mathbf{q}|_0^2 = 0.23 \text{ GeV}^2 \Rightarrow \mathcal{I}_0(0) \approx 0$ Model valid for $Q^2 > 2.3 \text{ GeV}^2$



Model compared with CLAS and MAID data



Model compared with CLAS and MAID data

• F_1^* OK; F_2^* wrong sign



Model compared with CLAS and MAID data

• F_1^* OK; F_2^* wrong sign

o ...



Model compared with CLAS and MAID data

- F_1^* OK; F_2^* wrong sign
- ... There is also estimates of valence contributions (EBAC)



• Model compared with EBAC: J. Diaz et al PRC 60, 025207 (2009)



• Model compared with EBAC: J. Diaz et al PRC 60, 025207 (2009)

• F_1^* close to EBAC (valence quark core) ($Q^2 < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$)



• Model compared with EBAC: J. Diaz et al PRC 60, 025207 (2009)

- F_1^* close to EBAC (valence quark core) ($Q^2 < 2 \text{ GeV}^2$)
- F_2^* close to valence estimate $(Q^2 \approx 1 \text{ GeV}^2)$ $(F_2^*)^{Sp} \simeq (F_2^*)^{QM}$

$\gamma N \rightarrow N(1535)$ helicity amplitudes



$$A_{1/2} = -2b\left[F_1^* + \frac{M_S - M}{M_S + M}F_2^*\right], \ S_{1/2} = \sqrt{2}b(M_S + M)\frac{|\mathbf{q}|}{Q^2}\left[\frac{M_S - M}{M_S + M}F_1^* - \tau F_2^*\right]$$

$$|\mathbf{q}| = \frac{\sqrt{[(M_S - M)^2 + Q^2][(M_S + M)^2 + Q^2]}}{2M_S}, \quad b = e\sqrt{\frac{(M_S - M)^2 + Q^2}{8M(M_S^2 - M^2)}}, \quad \tau = \frac{Q^2}{(M_S + M)^2}$$

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

$\gamma N \rightarrow N(1535)$ form factors



What if we use $F_2^* \approx 0$? ($Q^2 > 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$)

$\gamma N \rightarrow N(1535)$ helicity amplitudes <code>[arXiv:1105.2223 [hep-ph]]</code>



• $F_2^* = 0$ (data), F_1^* from Spectator model - - - -

$\gamma N \rightarrow N(1535)$ helicity amplitudes <code>[arXiv:1105.2223 [hep-ph]]</code>



• $F_2^* = 0$ (data), F_1^* from Spectator model - - - - -

• Very good description of $A_{1/2}$ and $S_{1/2}$ for $Q^2 > 2.3 \text{ GeV}^2$

$\gamma N \rightarrow N(1535)$ helicity amplitudes [arXiv:1105.2484 [hep-ph]]

Implication of $F_2^* = 0$:

Model with valence quark

 meson cloud:

 Cancelation of valence quark contributions and
 meson cloud contributions

 $F_2^B(Q^2) + F_2^{mc} \simeq 0$

 $F_2^B = \text{valence quarks; } F_2^{mc} = \text{meson cloud}$

• Consequence: for $Q^2 > 1.8 \text{ GeV}^2$ [$|\mathbf{q}| \simeq Q\sqrt{1+\tau}$]:

$$S_{1/2}\simeq -rac{\sqrt{1+ au}}{\sqrt{2}}rac{M_S^2-M^2}{2M_SQ}A_{1/2}$$

 $S_{1/2}$ scales with $A_{1/2}$

GR and K Tsushima arXiv:1105.2484 [hep-ph]

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

Covariant quark-diquark model

Relation between $A_{1/2}$ and $S_{1/2}$ (MAID)



MAID parametrization $A_{1/2}:$ $S_{1/2}\simeq -rac{\sqrt{1+ au}}{\sqrt{2}}rac{M_S^2-M^2}{2M_SQ}A_{1/2}$

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

$\gamma N ightarrow N^*(1535)$ asymptotic behavior [arXiv:1105.2223 [hep-ph]]



Comparing with pQCD, Carlson *et al.* PRL 81, 2646 (1998) Model and Data overestimates pQCD result

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

Covariant quark-diquark model

Spin 3/2 resonances: transition currents

 $\gamma N \to \Delta(1232)$ or $\Delta(1600)$:

$$J^{\mu} = \bar{u}_{\beta}(P_{+}) \left[G_{1}q^{\beta}\gamma^{\mu} + G_{2}q^{\beta}P^{\mu} + G_{3}q^{\beta}q^{\mu} - G_{4}g^{\beta\mu} \right] \gamma_{5}u(P_{-})$$

 u_{β} Rarita-Schwinger spinor

$$q_{\mu}J^{\mu} = 0 \Rightarrow G_4 = (M_{\Delta} + M_N)G_1 + \frac{1}{2}(M_{\Delta}^2 - M_N^2)G_2 - Q^2G_3$$

$$G_{M}^{*} = \frac{M_{N}}{3(M_{N}+M_{\Delta})} \left\{ \left[(3M_{\Delta}+M_{N})(M_{\Delta}+M) + Q^{2} \right] \frac{G_{1}}{M_{\Delta}} + (M_{\Delta}^{2}-M_{N}^{2})G_{2} - 2Q^{2}G_{3} \right\}$$

$$G_{E}^{*} = \frac{M_{N}}{3(M_{N}+M_{\Delta})} \left\{ (M_{\Delta}^{2}-M_{N}^{2}-Q^{2})\frac{G_{1}}{M_{\Delta}}(M_{\Delta}^{2}-M_{N}^{2})G_{2} - 2Q^{2}G_{3} \right\}$$

$$G_{C}^{*} = \frac{M_{N}}{3(M_{N}+M_{\Delta})} \left\{ 4M_{\Delta}G_{1} + (3M_{\Delta}^{2}+M_{N}^{2}+Q^{2})G_{2} + 2(M_{\Delta}^{2}-M_{N}^{2}-Q^{2})G_{3} \right\}$$

$$A_{1/2} = -\mathcal{N}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[G_M^* - 3G_E^* \right], \quad A_{3/2} = -\mathcal{N}\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[G_M^* + G_E^* \right], \quad S_{1/2} \propto G_C^*$$

Spin 3/2 resonances: wave functions

• A wave function: EPJA 36, 329 (2008); PRD 78, 114017 (2008); PRD 80, 013008 (2009)

$$\Psi_{\Delta} = N \left[\Psi_S + a \Psi_{D3} + b \Psi_{D1} \right]$$

$$S = 0 \oplus \frac{3}{2}, \quad D3 = 2 \oplus \frac{3}{2}, \quad D1 = 2 \oplus \frac{1}{2}$$

- Wave functions fited to form factor data $[G^*_M,G^*_E,G^*_C]$
- S-state model: good description of G_M^* data EPJA, 36, 329 (2008)
- With D-states: S-state $\Leftarrow G_M^*$ Fit physical data \oplus EBAC (core) $G_E^*, G_C^* \Leftarrow$ Fit lattice QCD data (bare contribution)

Extracting valence quark contributions from lattice QCD:

 $G_X^{latt}(m_\pi^{latt}) \to \overbrace{G_X^B(m_\pi^{latt})}^{\text{Model}} \xrightarrow{m_\pi^{latt} \to m_\pi^{phys}} G_X^B(physical)$

GR and MT Peña PRD 80, 013008 (2009)
$\gamma N ightarrow \Delta : \ G^*_M(Q^2)$ on lattice [PRD 80, 013008 (2009)]



GR and MT Peña PRD 80, 013008 (2009)



• Bare \approx EBAC model

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 40 / 50

$\gamma N \to \Delta$: $G_M^*(Q^2)$ (valence + pion cloud [phenomenological])

GR and MT Peña PRD 80, 013008 (2009)



• Bare \approx EBAC model \oplus $G_M^{\pi} = \lambda_{\pi} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\pi}^2}{\Lambda_{\pi}^2 + Q^2}\right)^2 (3G_D)$ $\frac{G_M^B(0)}{3G_D} \leq 0.7$



Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 41 / 50

$\gamma N ightarrow \Delta: \ G_E^*(Q^2)$, $G_C^*(Q^2)$ on lattice [PRD 80, 013008 (2009)]

Fit to lattice QCD data (bare contribution) Alexandrou et al, PRD, 77, 085012 (2008)



D3 state: 0.72%

D1 state: 0.72%

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 42 / 50

$\gamma N ightarrow \Delta$: $G^*_E(Q^2)$, $G^*_C(Q^2)$ (bare) [PRD 80, 013008 (2009)]



Compare with **Physical data** Small valence quark contributions GR, MT Peña PRD 80, 013008 (2009)

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

Covariant quark-diquark model

May 16, 2011 43 / 50

$\gamma N ightarrow \Delta$: $G^*_E(Q^2)$, $G^*_C(Q^2)$ (bare + pion cloud)



Pion cloud [Large N_c ; no additional parameters] Pion cloud dominant; Good global description ($Q^2 < 1.5 \text{ GeV}^2$) \Rightarrow callibration valence quark contribution (all Q^2)

$\gamma N ightarrow \Delta(1600)$ [PRD 82, 073007 (2010)]

$$\begin{split} \Delta(1600) \text{ as the 1st radial excitation} \\ \text{of } \Delta(1232) \text{ EPJA, 36, 329 (2008) [S-state]} \\ G_E^* \equiv 0, \ G_C^* \equiv 0 \end{split}$$

Bare :
$$G_M^B(0) = -1.113$$

Effects of π cloud?

Decay	BR
$\Delta(1600) \rightarrow \pi N$	$0.153{\pm}0.019$
$\Delta(1600) \rightarrow \pi \Delta$	$0.590 {\pm} 0.100$
$\Delta(1600) \to \pi N(1440)$	$0.130{\pm}0.040$



$\gamma N \rightarrow \Delta(1600)$: pion cloud

GR and K.Tsushima, PRD 82, 073007 (2010)



- Dominance of diagram (a): leading order in χ PT
- Pion cloud generalization of $\gamma N \rightarrow \Delta(1232)$ Including channels: $\pi N, \pi \Delta, \pi N^*, \pi \Delta^*$ Assuming equal masses in the loops:

$$G_M^{\pi} = \left(\lambda_\pi^N + \lambda_\pi^{N^*} + \lambda_\pi^{\Delta} + \lambda_\pi^{\Delta^*}\right) \left(\frac{\Lambda_\pi^2}{\Lambda_\pi^2 + Q^2}\right)^2 (3G_D)$$

 $\lambda_{\pi}^{B} \leftarrow f_{\pi B'B^{*}}$ determined by the Data (Γ and BR)

$\gamma N ightarrow \Delta(1600)$ form factors [PRD 82, 073007 (2010)]



Valence quark dominance for high Q^2 GR and K.Tsushima, PRD 82, 073007 (2010)

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

Covariant quark-diquark model

May 16, 2011 47 / 50

$\gamma N ightarrow \Delta(1600)$ helicity amplitudes [PRD 82, 073007 (2010)]



Valence quark dominance for high Q^2 GR and K.Tsushima, PRD 82, 073007 (2010)

Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

Covariant quark-diquark model

• Quark model (calibrated by Nucleon and $\gamma N
ightarrow \Delta$ data)

- Quark model (calibrated by Nucleon and $\gamma N \rightarrow \Delta$ data)
 - Good description of N(939), N(1440), N(1535) data $_{\rm [No\ extra\ parameters]}$ Large $Q^2 \oplus$ lattice data Valence quark degrees of freedom under control

- Quark model (calibrated by Nucleon and $\gamma N
 ightarrow \Delta$ data)
 - Good description of N(939), N(1440), N(1535) data [No extra parameters] Large $Q^2 \oplus$ lattice data Valence quark degrees of freedom under control
 - Good description of $\Delta(1232), \Delta(1600)$ data Including pion cloud effects (important) Lattice data [$\Delta(1232)$] Dominance of valence quark for $Q^2 > 2 \text{ GeV}^2$

- Quark model (calibrated by Nucleon and $\gamma N
 ightarrow \Delta$ data)
 - Good description of N(939), N(1440), N(1535) data [No extra parameters] Large $Q^2 \oplus$ lattice data Valence quark degrees of freedom under control
 - Good description of $\Delta(1232), \Delta(1600)$ data Including pion cloud effects (important) Lattice data [$\Delta(1232)$] Dominance of valence quark for $Q^2 > 2 \text{ GeV}^2$
- Prespective of extension to other resonances $P_{11}(1710), D_{13}(1520), S_{11}(1650), ...$

Nucleon Resonance Structure



Gilberto Ramalho (IST, Lisbon)

May 16, 2011 50 / 50