Neutrino energy reconstruction in long-baseline experiments

Erica Vagnoni

in collaboration with A. M. Ankowski, O. Benhar, P. Coloma, P. Huber, C.-M. Jen, C. Mariani and D. Meloni

> INFN & UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI ROMA TRE Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica

> > June 27th 2016



Lepton-Nucleus Scattering XIV Workshop, Marciana Marina

Erica Vagnoni

Neutrino Energy

1 Introduction

- 2 Neutrino Energy reconstruction
- **3** Migration Matrices
- 4 Oscillation analysis

5 Results

- Neutrinos are produced as tertiary decay products
- Neutrino has a low cross section ($\propto 10^{-38} \text{ cm}^2$)

$$\nu_\ell + A \to \ell^- + X$$

• Neutrino cross section for ¹²C as a nuclear target



The generic final state resulting from neutrino-nucleus scattering in charged current, can be described by a state of N nucleons and M mesons

$$\nu_{\ell}(k_{\nu}) + A(p) \to \ell^{-}(k_{\ell}) + N(p') + M(h')$$

and the energy of the incoming neutrino can be reconstructed as

$$E_{\nu}^{kin} = \frac{W^2 - m_{\ell}^2 + 2(M - \epsilon_n)E_{\ell} - (M - \epsilon_n)^2}{2(M - \epsilon_n - E_{\ell} + |\mathbf{k}_{\ell}|\cos\theta_{\ell})}$$

- The reaction mechanism is assumed to be quasi-elastic like $(\nu_\ell(k_\nu) + n(p) \to \ell^-(k_\ell) + p(p'))$
- The struck nucleon is assumed to be at rest
- W^2 is assumed to be equal to M^2 for meson-less events and to M^2_Δ otherwise

The generic final state resulting from neutrino-nucleus scattering in charged current, can be described by a state of N nucleons and M mesons

$$\nu_{\ell}(k_{\nu}) + A(p) \to \ell^{-}(k_{\ell}) + N(p') + M(h')$$

and the energy of the incoming neutrino can be reconstructed as

$$E_{\nu}^{cal} = \epsilon_n + E_{\ell} + \sum_{i}^{N} (E_{\mathbf{p}_i'} - M) + \sum_{j}^{M} E_{\mathbf{h}_j'}$$

- $\epsilon_n = 34$ MeV average single-nucleon separation-energy
- Note that mesons enter with the total energy, while for nucleons only the kinetic energy contribute
- Can be applied to any type of CC reactions

The generic final state resulting from neutrino-nucleus scattering in charged current, can be described by a state of N nucleons and M mesons

$$\nu_{\ell}(k_{\nu}) + A(p) \to \ell^{-}(k_{\ell}) + N(p') + M(h')$$

and the energy of the incoming neutrino can be reconstructed as

$$E_{\nu}^{cal} = \epsilon_n + E_{\ell} + \sum_{i}^{N} (E_{\mathbf{p}_i'} - M) + \sum_{j}^{M} E_{\mathbf{h}_j'}$$

HOWEVER

an accurate reconstruction of hadrons poses a formidable experimental challenge

- Neutrons are likely to escape detection
- $\bullet\,$ Any undetected meson results as an underestimation of neutrino energy of $\sim 135~{\rm MeV}$

Energy reconstruction: Strategy

Neutrino events are analyzed within two different assumptions

• Perfect reconstruction: with the exception of neutrons, all produced particles are observed, and their measured energies are equal to the true ones

Energy reconstruction: Strategy

Neutrino events are analyzed within two different assumptions

- Perfect reconstruction: with the exception of neutrons, all produced particles are observed, and their measured energies are equal to the true ones
- Realistic reconstruction: neutrons are assumed to escape detection and detector effects are taken into account
 - the measured energies and angles are smeared with respect to the true ones by a finite detector resolution

$$f(x_{meas}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma(x_{true})} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(x_{meas} - x_{true})}{\sigma(x_{true})}\right)^2\right]$$

• detection efficiencies (energy independent) and thresholds are taken into account

Thresholds for mesons (20 MeV) and protons (40 MeV)

Efficiencies for π^0 (60%), for other mesons (80%) and for protons (50%)



For the calorimetric reconstruction it is important to estimate the energy contribution coming from different species of hadrons

- In QE range the contribution of protons (neutrons) is dominant for neutrinos (anti-neutrinos)
- In the region of resonant and non-resonant pion production, charged pions gives the largest contribution
- At $E_{\nu} \gtrsim 1.5$ GeV neutrons contribute less than 15% to the (anti)neutrino energy

Migration Matrices

Define the probability that an event with a true neutrino energy in the bin j ends up being reconstructed in the energy bin i

 $\mathcal{M}_{ij} \equiv N(E_i^{rec}, E_j^{true})$

with events generated with **GENIE**



Migration Matrices

- Matrices are produced up to 8 GeV, with a bin size of 100 MeV
- Detector effects affect the probability for a neutrino event to be reconstructed in the correct energy bin
- Finite energy resolutions smear the measured energies
- Imperfect efficiencies and finite thresholds result in an energy partially carried away by undetected particles



Migration Matrices

- Matrices are produced up to 8 GeV, with a bin size of 100 MeV
- Detector effects affect the probability for a neutrino event to be reconstructed in the correct energy bin
- Finite energy resolutions smear the measured energies
- Imperfect efficiencies and finite thresholds result in an energy partially carried away by undetected particles



17

Neutrino oscillations

 $\bullet \ {\rm mass \ eigenstates} \longleftrightarrow {\rm flavor \ eigenstates}$

$$\begin{split} |\nu_{\alpha}\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_{\alpha i} |\nu_{i}\rangle \; ; \; i=1,2,3 \quad \alpha=e,\mu,\tau \\ U &= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Atmospheric}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Reactor}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Solar}} \quad s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij} \\ c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij} \end{split}$$

• The evolution of the states

$$|\nu_i(t)\rangle = \exp(-itE_i)|\nu_i(0)\rangle$$
; $E_i \simeq p_i + \frac{m_i^2}{2p_i} \Rightarrow$

$$\mathcal{A}(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta}) = \langle \nu_{\beta}(t) | \nu_{\alpha}(0) \rangle = \sum_{j} U_{\alpha j} U_{\beta j}^{*} \exp\left[-\frac{im_{j}^{2}L}{2E_{\nu}}\right]$$

~

Neutrino oscillations

• mass eigenstates \longleftrightarrow interactions eigenstates

$$\begin{split} |\nu_{\alpha}\rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_{\alpha i} |\nu_{i}\rangle \; ; \; i=1,2,3 \quad \alpha=e,\mu,\tau \\ U &= \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Atmospheric}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13}e^{i\delta} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Reactor}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Solar}} \quad s_{ij} = \sin\theta_{ij} \\ c_{ij} = \cos\theta_{ij} \end{split}$$

• The evolution of the states

$$|\nu_i(t)\rangle = \exp(-itE_i)|\nu_i(0)\rangle$$
; $E_i \simeq p_i + \frac{m_i^2}{2p_i} \Rightarrow$

$$\frac{P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta})}{P(\nu_{\alpha} \to \nu_{\beta})} = |\langle \nu_{\beta}(t) | \nu_{\alpha}(0) \rangle|^{2} = \sum_{i,j} U_{\alpha j} U_{\alpha i}^{*} U_{\beta i} U_{\beta j}^{*} \exp\left[-\frac{i\Delta m_{ij}^{2}L}{2E_{\nu}}\right]$$

~

Oscillation analysis

The extraction of the oscillation parameters is linked to the event rate

$$N^{i}_{\beta} = \int_{E^{i}_{\nu}}^{E^{i}_{\nu} + \Delta E_{\nu}} \mathrm{d}E_{\nu} \ \sigma_{\nu_{\beta}}(E_{\nu}) \ P_{\alpha\beta}(\{\Theta\}, E_{\nu})\phi_{\nu_{\alpha}}(E_{\nu})$$

- the event rate distribution is affected by detector capabilities
- the true rate is generated including experimental features implemented in the construction of the migration matrices

$$N_i^{true} = \sum_X \sum_j \mathcal{M}_{ij}^X \; N_j^X$$

where X describes the interaction channel

• the aim is to reproduce an event distribution obtainable with 'realistic' experimental setup

Oscillation analysis

The extraction of the oscillation parameters is linked to the event rate

$$N^{i}_{\beta} = \int_{E^{i}_{\nu}}^{E^{i}_{\nu} + \Delta E_{\nu}} \mathrm{d}E_{\nu} \ \sigma_{\nu_{\beta}}(E_{\nu}) \ P_{\alpha\beta}(\{\Theta\}, E_{\nu})\phi_{\nu_{\alpha}}(E_{\nu})$$

- the event rate distribution is affected by detector capabilities
- the fit is performed using a linear combination of the matrices obtained of the realistic and perfect reconstruction

$$N_i^{fit} = \sum_X \sum_j \left\{ (1 - \alpha) M_{ij}^{X,real} + \alpha M_{ij}^{X,perf} \right\} N_j^X$$

 α is a purely phenomenological parameter

• this phenomenological approach is useful to quantify the impact of the incorrect estimation of detectors effect on the oscillation analysis

Oscillation analysis

- The oscillation analysis is performed in the disappearance channel $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$, using the software GLoBES
- The χ^2 analysis is such that

$$\Delta \chi^2(\Delta m_{31}^2, \theta_{23}) \equiv \chi^2(\Delta m_{31}^2, \theta_{23}) - \chi^2_{best-fit} < 2.30$$

- The pull method is used, with Gaussian priors for each systematic error
 - a 20% bin-to-bin uncorrelated systematic uncertainty
 - $\bullet\,$ a 20% overall normalization uncertainty, which is bin-to-bin correlated
- A near detector is used
- The oscillation parameters used are

Δm_{21}^2	Δm_{31}^2	θ_{12}	θ_{23}	θ_{13}	δ
$7.50 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}^2$	$2.46 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$	$33.48\deg$	$42.30\deg$	$8.5 \deg$	0.0

Experimental setup

- Low energy setup (LE) with a narrow-band off-axis beam. The neutrino flux is peaked around 600 MeV with a baseline L = 295 km
- *High energy setup* (HE) with a broadband on-axis beam. The flux is peaked at 1 2 GeV and the baseline is L = 1000 km



Number of un-oscillated events in the energy window under study [0.3, 2] GeV for LE and [0.3, 4] GeV HE

	QE	2p2h	res	DIS	total
LE	49%	28%	21%	2%	4891
HE	26%	11%	37%	26%	4456

Disappearance analysis: $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ [Phys. Rev. D **92** 073014 (2015)]

Results for the calorimetric method



Erica Vagnoni

June 27th 2016

14 / 17

Disappearance analysis: $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ [Phys. Rev. D **92** 073014 (2015)]

Results for the kinematic method



Erica Vagnoni

14 / 17

- We consider $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \& \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$
- \bullet A wide–band neutrino beam is considered with $L=1300~{\rm km}$
- The background is smeared according to a Gaussian with $\sigma(E_{\nu}) = 0.15 \sqrt{E_{\nu}}$
- $\bullet\,$ The signal efficiency is set to $80\%\,$

- We consider $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \& \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$
- A wide–band neutrino beam is considered with $L=1300~{\rm km}~({\rm LBNE})$
- The background is smeared according to a Gaussian with $\sigma(E_{\nu}) = 0.15 \sqrt{E_{\nu}}$
- $\bullet\,$ The signal efficiency is set to 80%



- The true rate is generated with realistic detector capabilities
- In the ideal case the neutrino energy would be smeared according to a Gaussian distribution

$$\downarrow$$

$$\sigma(E_{\nu}) = a + b\sqrt{E_{\nu}} + cE_{\nu}$$

- We consider $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e} \& \overline{\nu}_{\mu} \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_{e}$
- A wide–band neutrino beam is considered with $L=1300~{\rm km}~({\rm LBNE})$
- The background is smeared according to a Gaussian with $\sigma(E_{\nu})=0.15\sqrt{E_{\nu}}$
- $\bullet\,$ The signal efficiency is set to 80%



- The true rate is generated with realistic detector capabilities
- In the ideal case the neutrino energy would be smeared according to a Gaussian distribution

\Downarrow

• The fit is performed using a linear combination of the above cases

Erica Vagnoni

15 / 17

$$\begin{split} N_i^{true} &= \sum_X \sum_j \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{X,real} \; N_j^X \\ N_i^{fit} &= \sum_X \sum_j \left\{ (1-\alpha) \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{X,real} + \alpha \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{X,gauss} \right\} N_j^X \end{split}$$

$$N_i^{true} = \sum_X \sum_j \mathcal{M}_{ij}^{X,real} N_j^X$$
$$N_i^{fit} = \sum_X \sum_j \left\{ (1-\alpha) M_{ij}^{X,real} + \alpha M_{ij}^{X,gauss} \right\} N_j^X$$



Effects of the missing energy

- $90\% \rightarrow \alpha = 0.1$
- $80\% \to \alpha = 0.2$
- $70\% \rightarrow \alpha = 0.3$

of the missing energy correctly accounted for

Conclusions

- We compared two different energy reconstruction techniques: the reconstruction based on the kinematics of the outgoing lepton and the one based the calorimetric method
- Detectors effects have been taken into account
- The oscillation analysis has been performed in appearance and disappearance

Conclusions

- We compared two different energy reconstruction techniques: the reconstruction based on the kinematics of the outgoing lepton and the one based the calorimetric method
- Detectors effects have been taken into account
- The oscillation analysis has been performed in appearance and disappearance
- Bias in the extraction of oscillation parameters
 - Effects of incorrect estimation of detector performances
 - Effects of incorrect estimation of missing energy

Backup

χ^2 analysis

The χ^2 values, in the energy bin *i* and for the detector *D* is calculated as

$$\chi_{i,D}^{2} = 2 \left(T_{i,D}(\theta,\xi) - O_{i,D} + O_{i,D} \ln \frac{O_{i,D}}{T_{i,D}(\theta,\xi)} \right)$$

• $T_{i,D}$ is the fitted rate

$$T_{i,D} = (1 + \xi_{\phi_i} + \xi_n) N_i(\theta)$$

- ξ nuisance parameters
- $N_i(\theta)$ is the event rate for a given energy bin computed with the test values θ
- $O_{i,D}$ is the effective *observed* rate and depends only on the oscillation values used as true input values

$$\chi^2 = \min_{\xi} \left\{ \sum_{i,D} \chi^2_{i,D}(\theta,\xi) + \left(\frac{\xi_{\phi_i}}{\sigma_{\phi}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\xi_n}{\sigma_n}\right)^2 \right\}$$

The behavior of the standard deviation, as a function of true neutrino energy can be fitted with a given function. The fitting function chosen is

$$\sigma(E_{\nu}) = a + b\sqrt{E_{\nu}} + cE_{\nu} , \quad \text{with} \quad a, b, c > 0 ,$$

and the energy resolution $R(E_{\nu}, E')$

$$R(E_{\nu}, E') = \frac{1}{\sigma(E_{\nu})\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{E_{\nu} - E'}{2\sigma^2(E_{\nu})}} .$$

Gaussian Smearing





For the calorimetric reconstruction it is important to estimate the energy contribution coming from different species of hadrons

- In QE range the contribution of protons (neutrons) is dominant for neutrinos (anti-neutrinos)
- In the region of resonant and non-resonant pion production, charged pions gives the largest contribution
- At $E_{\nu} \gtrsim 1.5$ GeV neutrons contribute less than 15% to the (anti)neutrino energy