Progress toward determining the light baryon SPECTRUM in lattice QCD

- 2005-06 SPECTRUM Collaboration of LHPC
- S. Basak, R. Edwards, G. Fleming, J. Juge, A. Lichtl, C. Morningstar, D. Richards, I. Sato and S. Wallace
- Goal: Theoretical determination of the spectrum of baryons and other hadrons.
- Means: Lattice QCD
 - Variational method
 - Lots of interpolating field operators

Use quenched QCD to prove methods & look at light baryons

- 16³×64 (167 configs) and 24³× 64 (239 configs) anisotropic lattices
- $m_{\pi} = 490 \text{ MeV}.$
- Local operators
- One-link-displaced nonlocal operators
- Calculations performed using Jlab clusters

Outline

- Spin on the lattice
- A lattice view of the physical spectrum
- Variational method
- Stability of eigenvectors
- Pattern of low-lying states at a_s = 0.1 fermi
- Evidence for spin $\frac{5}{2}$
- Summary

Energies from correlation functions

$$C(t) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}} \left\langle 0 | B(\mathbf{x}, t) \overline{B}(\mathbf{0}, 0) | 0 \right\rangle$$
$$= Z_1^2 e^{-E_1 t} + Z_2^2 e^{-E_2 t} + \cdots$$
(1)

Quantum numbers of states

- Spin quantum numbers \mathbf{J}^2 and J_z are not "good".
- Irreducible representations (irreps) of octahedral group are "good".

$$\sum_{x} \langle 0 | TB_{k}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}(\mathbf{x},t) \overline{B}_{k'}^{(\Lambda',\lambda')}(0) | 0 \rangle = C_{kk'}^{(\Lambda,\lambda)}(t) \delta_{\Lambda\Lambda'} \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$$

Double octahedral group, \mathcal{O}^D and subduction of J.

- $G_{1g}(2), H_g(4), G_{2g}(2)$ irreps for positive parity.
- $G_{1u}(2), H_u(4), G_{2u}(2)$ irreps for negative parity.

irrep		Spin		
of O^D		J		
G_1	1/2			7/2
H		3/2	5/2	7/2
G_2			5/2	7/2

- Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ is in G_1 channel, $\frac{3}{2}$ in H channel
- Spin $\frac{5}{2}$ is in both G_2 and H

Example: As $a_s \rightarrow 0$, degenerate states

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_n(H) \\ E_m(G_2) \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow E(J = 5/2),$$

Physical positive-parity spectrum subduced



• Scattering states starting at $M_N + m_\pi$ become discrete states on lattice.

Physical negative-parity spectrum subduced



• With m_{π} = 490 MeV, $M_N + m_{\pi}$ s-wave state is close to $N(\frac{1}{2}^-, 1535)$.

Need for nonlocal operators.

- Three spin $\frac{1}{2}$ local operators can produce $S = \frac{1}{2}$ or $S = \frac{3}{2}$.
- Example: $N_{121} = (u_1d_2 d_1u_2)u_1$ is $S = \frac{1}{2}$ Nucleon operator
- No higher spins can be produced.
- No G_2 irreps can be produced.
- Nonlocal operators: discretizations
 of L = 1, L = 2,

•
$$L = 1 \oplus S = \frac{3}{2} \to J = \frac{5}{2} \to G_2$$

Simplest nonlocal operators

- Displace one quark relative to the other two.
- Form irreps of displacements: $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1}^{\Lambda_1}$
- Use Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for octahedral group to make overall irreps

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{\bar{k}}^{(\Lambda,\lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} C\left(\begin{array}{cc} \Lambda & \Lambda_1 & \Lambda_2 \\ \lambda & \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \end{array}\right) \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_1}^{\Lambda_1} \overline{\Psi}_k^{(\Lambda_2,\lambda_2)}$$

One-link displacement irrep operators $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{\Lambda}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}_{1}\overline{B} & \hat{D}_{+}\overline{B} & \hat{D}_{-}\overline{B} & \hat{D}_{0}\overline{B} & \hat{E}_{0}\overline{B} & \hat{E}_{2}\overline{B} \end{pmatrix}^{T} \equiv \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\hat{d}_{x}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{y}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{z}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{z}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-x}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-y}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-z}\overline{B}) \\ \frac{i}{2}[(\hat{d}_{x}\overline{B}-\hat{d}_{-x}\overline{B})+i(\hat{d}_{y}\overline{B}-d_{-y}\overline{B})] \\ -\frac{i}{2}[(\hat{d}_{x}\overline{B}-\hat{d}_{-x}\overline{B})-i(\hat{d}_{y}\overline{B}-\hat{d}_{-y}\overline{B})] \\ -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{d}_{z}\overline{B}-\hat{d}_{-z}\overline{B}) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}[2(\hat{d}_{z}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-z}\overline{B})-(\hat{d}_{x}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-x}\overline{B})-(\hat{d}_{y}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-y}\overline{B})] \\ \frac{1}{2}[(\hat{d}_{x}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-x}\overline{B})-(\hat{d}_{y}\overline{B}+\hat{d}_{-y}\overline{B})] \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2)$$

Combinations of displacements that transform according to the A_1 , T_1 , and E single-valued irreps of the octahedral group provide lattice discretizations of the spherical harmonics $Y_{\ell m}$,

$$\hat{A}_1 \sim Y_{00}$$
,
 $\hat{D}_{+,0,-} \sim Y_{11}, \quad Y_{10}, \quad Y_{1-1}$,
 $\hat{E}_{0,2} \sim Y_{20}, \quad (Y_{22} + Y_{2-2})$.

Charge Conjugation

$$C_{kk'}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}(t) = \mathcal{P}_{k'}\delta_{\mathbf{P},0} \Big[\sum_{n} \theta(t) \langle 0|B_{k}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}|n\rangle \langle n|\overline{B}_{k'}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}|0\rangle e^{-E_{n}t} \\ - \sum_{\bar{n}} \theta(-t) \langle 0|\overline{B}_{k'}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}|\bar{n}\rangle \langle \bar{n}|B_{k}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}|0\rangle e^{E_{\bar{n}}t} \Big],$$
(3)

Charge conjugation relations $|\bar{n}\rangle = C |n\rangle e^{i\phi}$ produce a relation between correlation functions

$$C_{kk'}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}(t) = \delta_{\mathbf{P},0} \sum_{n} \left[\theta(t) \mathcal{P}_{k'}^{(\Lambda)} \langle 0 | B_{k}^{(\Lambda\lambda)} | n \rangle \langle n | \overline{B}_{k'}^{(\Lambda\lambda)} | 0 \rangle e^{-E_{n}t} \right]$$
$$-\eta_{t} \theta(T-t) \mathcal{P}_{k'}^{(\Lambda_{c})} \langle 0 | B_{k}^{(\Lambda_{c}\lambda_{c})} | n \rangle^{*} \langle n | \overline{B}_{k'}^{(\Lambda_{c}\lambda_{c})} | 0 \rangle^{*} e^{-E_{\overline{n}}(T-t)} \right].$$
(4)

The forward propagating signal of a correlation function is equal to the backward propagating signal of the parity-reversed, complex-conjugated correlation function within the factor $-\eta_t$, i.e.,

$$C_{kk'}^{(\Lambda\lambda)}(t) = -\eta_t C_{kk'}^{(\Lambda_c\lambda_c)*}(T-t).$$
 (5)

Doubling of statistics

- For + parity operators use $\overline{B}^{(\Lambda)}$.
- For parity operators use $\overline{B}^{(\Lambda_c)}$.
- Each provides a correlation function in 0 < t < T/2 for BOTH parities.
- They are independent samples: \approx 10 time slices apart.
- One is from t < T/2 and the other is from t > T/2.
- Number of samples is double the number of gauge configurations.
- $167 \rightarrow 334$ on 16^3 , $239 \rightarrow 478$ on 24^3 .

Variational method

- Diagonalize matrices of correlation functions $C_{kk'}(t)$
- Extract spectrum of energies.
- **1.)** Renormalize: $\widetilde{C}_{kk}^{(\Lambda)}(t_0) = 1$.

$$\widetilde{C}_{kk'}^{(\Lambda)}(t) = N_k C_{kk'}^{(\Lambda)}(t) N_{k'},$$

- 2.) Solve generalized eigenvalue eq. $\sum_{k'} \widetilde{C}_{kk'}^{(\Lambda)}(t) v_{k'}^{(n)} = \alpha^{(n)}(t, t_0) \sum_{k'} \widetilde{C}_{kk'}^{(\Lambda)}(t_0) v_{k'}^{(n)},$
 - **3.) Obtain principal eigenvalues** $\alpha^{(n)}(t, t_0) \simeq e^{-E_n(t-t_0)} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}(e^{-|\delta E|t})\right),$

Eigenvectors

Eigenvectors diagonalize the renormalized correlation matrix:

 $v_k^{(n)T}(t,t_0)\widetilde{C}_{kk'}^{(\Lambda)}(t)v_{k'}^{(n')}(t,t_0) = \alpha^{(n)}(t,t_0)\delta_{nn'}.$

Diagonal operators:

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}}_n^{(\Lambda\lambda)} = \sum_k \widetilde{v}_k^{(n)}(t, t_0) \overline{B}_k^{(\Lambda\lambda)}.$$

Normalization:

$$\widetilde{v}_k^{(n)}(t,t_0) \equiv Z_n(t) N_k v_k^{(n)}(t,t_0),$$

such that $\sum_k |\widetilde{v}_k^{(n)}(t,t_0)|^2 = 1.$

Diagonal correlation function:

$$\widetilde{C}_{nn'}^{(\Lambda)}(t) = |Z_n(t)|^2 \alpha^{(n)}(t, t_0) \delta_{n,n'}.$$

Weights of basis operators: $|\widetilde{v}_k^{(n)}(t,t_0)|^2$.

Volume dependence

- Single particle states at zero total momentum:
- $C(L_1)/C(L_2) \approx 1.$
- Two-particle states at zero total momentum:
- $C(L_1)/C(L_2) \approx L_2^3/L_1^3 \to 3.37$
- Does Z_n^2 help to identify scattering states?

Stability check: N G_{1g}



Stability check: N G_{2g}



Stability check: N H_q



Stability check: ΔG_{1g}



Stability check: ΔH_g



Stability check: N G_{1u}



Stability check: N G_{2u}



Stability check: N H_u



Stability check: ΔG_{1u}



Stability check: ΔH_u



Volume dependence N G_{1g}



• Gaussian smearing of quark field: $\sigma = 3.0, N=20.$ $\hat{G}^{(N)}(x, x') = \sum_{y} (\delta_{x,y} + \sigma^2 \frac{\nabla_{x,y}^2}{4N}) \hat{G}^{(N-1)}(y, x'),$ $\hat{G}^{(0)}(x, x') = \delta_{x,x'},$ 26

Volume dependence N H_u





1:N(G_{1g}) gnd; 2:N(G_{1g}) 1st; 3:N(G_{1g}) 2nd; 4:N(G_{2g}) gnd; 5:N(G_{2g}) 1st; 6:N(G_{2g}) 2nd; 7:N(H_g) gnd; 8:N(H_g) 1st; 9:N(G_{1u}) gnd; 10:N(G_{1u}) 1st; 11:N(G_{2u}) gnd; 12:N(H_u) gnd; 13:N(H_u) 1st; 14:N(H_u) 2nd; 15: Δ (G_{1g}) gnd; 16: Δ (G_{1g}) 1st; 17: Δ (G_{2g}) gnd; 18: Δ (H_g) gnd; 19: Δ (H_g) 1st; 20: Δ (H_g) 2nd; 21: Δ (H_g) 3rd; 22: Δ (G_{1u}) gnd; 23: Δ (G_{2u}) gnd; 24: Δ (H_u) gnd.

Eigenvectors: N G_{1q}



Eigenvectors: N H_u



Pattern of lowest energies in each channel



• Omits, e.g., $N(\frac{1}{2}, 1440)$.

Summary

- Quasi-local + One-link operators
- Lowest $I = \frac{1}{2}$ and $I = \frac{3}{2}$ energies
- 23 energies found on both lattice volumes
- Similar eigenvectors
- Volume ratios? Scattering states?
- Spin $\frac{5}{2}$ states seen in G_2 spectra.
- Partner H states are seen at $a_s = 0.1F$.
- See subduction pattern but want to confirm because scaling may be poor.
- Pattern of lowest energies is similar to the pattern of lowest physical resonance states.